

Supporting Information

© Wiley-VCH 2005

69451 Weinheim, Germany

The Deracemization of Quaternary Stereocenters by Palladium-Catalyzed Enantioconvergent Decarboxylative Allylation of Racemic β-Ketoesters

Justin T. Mohr, Douglas C. Behenna, Andrew M. Harned, and Brian M. Stoltz*

The Arnold and Mabel Beckman Laboratories of Chemical Synthesis, Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125 (USA)

Table of Contents:

Materials and Methods	2
Deuterium Labeling Experiments	3
Representative Procedures for the Synthesis of β -Keto Allyl Esters	9
Characterization Data for Substrate Compounds, Table 1	11
Characterization Data for Substrate Compounds, Table 2	15
General Procedure for Enantioconvergent Allylation.	18
Characterization Data for New Product Compounds, Table 1	19
Characterization Data for New Product Compounds, Table 2	21
Synthesis of substrate (±)-13	22
Preparation of (-)-14, Scheme 5	23
Procedures for Preparation of Derivatives	24
Characterization Data for Other New Compounds	25
Methods for the Determination of Enantiomeric Excess	26
References	28

Materials and Methods. Unless otherwise stated, reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere using dry, deoxygenated solvents. Solvents were dried by passage through an activated alumina column under argon. Brine solutions are saturated aqueous sodium chloride solutions. Tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) $(Pd_2(dba)_3)$ was purchased from Strem and stored in a glove box until immediately before use. (S)-t-BuPHOX and allyl cyanoformate were prepared by known methods.^[1,2] Pimelic acid diallyl ester was prepared in a method analogous to the adipic acid esterification described by Tsuji.^[3] Alkyl halides, diallyl carbonate, Select-fluor, and pimelic acid were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. 3-Methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one and cyclohex-2-en-1-one were purchased from Acros and used as received. Dimethyallyl carbonate was purchased from Alfa Aesar and used as received. Sodium hydride (NaH) was purchased as a 60% dispersion in mineral oil from Acros and used as such unless otherwise stated. Reaction temperatures were controlled by an IKAmag temperature modulator. Thin-laver chromatography (TLC) was performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 precoated plates (0.25 mm) and visualized by UV fluorescence quenching, anisaldehyde, KMnO₄, or CAM staining. ICN Silica gel (particle size 0.032-0.063 mm) was used for flash chromatography. Analytical chiral HPLC was performed with an Agilent 1100 Series HPLC utilizing a Chiralcel OJ, AD, or OD-H column (4.6 mm x 25 cm) obtained from Daicel Chemical Industries, Ltd with visualization at 254 nm. Analytical chiral GC was performed with an Agilent 6850 GC utilizing a G-TA (30 m x 0.25 mm) column (1.0 mL/min carrier gas flow). Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco P-1010 polarimeter at 589 nm. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 300 (at 300 MHz and 75 MHz respectively), and are reported relative to Me₄Si (δ 0.0). Data for ¹H NMR spectra are reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm) (multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz), integration). IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Paragon 1000 spectrometer and are reported in frequency of absorption (cm⁻¹). High resolution mass spectra were obtained from the Caltech Mass Spectral Facility.

Deuterium Labeling Experiments.



Compound **8** was prepared from dideuterioallyl chroroformate, which was synthesized from 1-dideuterioallyl alcohol^[4] and 20% phosgene in toluene, and used without purification in our standard procedure.^[5] Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 1→2.5% Et₂O in hexanes). 6.3% yield. $R_f = 0.82$ (25% Et₂O in hexanes); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.95 (dd, J = 17.0, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 2.21-2.09 (comp. m, 2H), 2.08-1.98 (comp. m, 2H), 1.77-1.66 (comp. m, 2H), 1.65-1.53 (comp. m, 2H), 1.57 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.1, 142.2, 131.4, 120.9, 119.0, 30.3, 26.6, 23.1, 22.3, 21.7, 15.8; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2935, 2862, 1753, 1710, 1280, 1262, 1078 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₁H₁₄D₂O₃ [M]⁺: 198.1225, found 198.1217.



Compound **9** was prepared from 2-trideuteriomethylcyclohexanone^[6] by our standard procedure.^[5] Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 2 \rightarrow 2.5% Et₂O in hexanes). 22% yield. $R_f = 0.82$ (25% Et₂O in hexanes); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.96 (ddt, J = 17.1, 10.8, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (d, J = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 2.21-2.09 (comp. m, 2H), 2.08-1.98 (comp. m, 2H), 1.77-1.66 (comp. m, 2H), 1.66-1.53 (comp. m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.1, 142.2, 131.5, 120.8, 118.8, 68.5, 30.0, 26.6, 23.1, 22.3; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2936, 1755, 1705, 1367, 1276, 1247, 1216, 1034, 786 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₁H₁₃D₃O₃ [M]⁺: 199.1288, found 199.1280.



Asymmetric Tsuji Allylation of Deuterated Substrates



Enol carbonate 8 (39.7 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was reacted under our standard allylation conditions.^[5] After 2 h, the reaction was complete by TLC. GC analysis showed an 88.1% yield and an 87% ee for the mixture of products. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, $1\rightarrow 2.5\%$ Et₂O in pentane) afforded material for NMR analysis, which allowed the ratio of products to be quantified.



Enol carbonates 8 (19.9 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 9 (19.8 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were simultaneously reacted under our standard conditions.^[5] After 2 h, the reaction was complete by TLC. GC analysis showed an 88.4% yield and an 87% ee for the mixture of products. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 1 \rightarrow 2.5% Et₂O in pentane) afforded material for MS and NMR analysis, which allowed the ratio of products to be quantified.





Mass Spectral Analysis of Crossover Experiments



While the total ion counts are not rigorously quantitative, they clearly suggest that all four masses are present in nearly equal proportions. The slight excess of the 155 m/z ion is likely due to the natural abundance of ¹³C present in the dideuterio material. Nearly identical distributions were obtained whether the reaction was run in THF, 1,4-dioxane, or benzene.

Representative Procedures for the Synthesis of β -Keto Allyl Esters.

Method 1 (Dieckmann Cyclization Method):^[7]

Allyl 1-benzyl-2-oxocyclohexanecarboxylate (Table 1, Entry 6):

To a suspension of NaH (166.4 mg, 4.16 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in toluene (2 mL) was added allyl alcohol (79.2 µL, 1.17 mmol, 0.28 equiv). Once gas evolution ceased, pimelic acid diallyl ester (1.00 g, 4.16 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added slowly and the resulting mixture heated to 95 °C for 1 h. Additional toluene (~ 2 mL) was added during this time to maintain a fluid reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and the solvent removed by rotary evaporation in vacuo. The resulting solid salt was placed under dry N₂ and dissolved in THF (9 mL) at rt. Benzyl bromide (643.2 µL, 5.4 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was then added dropwise. The resulting mixture was warmed to 50 °C for 2.5 h, cooled to rt, quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution (5 mL) followed by H_2O (5 mL). The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 5 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (1 x 10 mL), then dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (5 x 18 cm SiO₂, 10% Et₂O in pentane) to afford the quaternary compound as a colorless oil (781.4 mg, 70% yield). $R_{f} = 0.30$ (10%) Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33-7.23 (comp. m, 3H), 7.20-7.13 (comp. m, 2H), 5.86 (dddd, J = 17.2, 10.3, 5.9, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (m, 2H), 4.57 (m, 2H), 3.38 (d, 1H, J = 13.8 Hz), 2.94 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.60-2.39 (comp. m, 3H), 2.14-1.97 (m, 1H), 1.83-1.60 (comp. m, 3H), 1.59-1.45 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.8, 170.5, 136.3, 131.2, 130.2, 127.9, 126.5, 119.0, 65.6, 62.1, 41.1, 40.3, 35.7, 27.4, 22.3; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3029, 2942, 1713, 1452, 1179, 1085 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{17}H_{20}O_3$ [M]⁺: 272.1412, found 272.1425.

Method 2 (Mander's Reagent Method):^[8]



Allyl 1-(2-*tert*-butoxy-2-oxoethyl)-4-methyl-2-oxocyclohex-3-enecarboxylate ((±)-12):

To a cooled (-78 °C) solution of LDA (18.70 mmol, 1.05 equiv) in THF (90 mL) was added 3-methylcyclohex-2-enone (**2**, 2.02 mL, 17.81 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in a dropwise fashion. The resulting solution was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and then allyl cyanoformate (2.00 g, 18.17 mmol, 1.02 equiv) was added dropwise. The dry ice bath was removed and the reaction mixture slowly warmed to rt and stirred for 8 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (15 mL) followed by H_2O (15 mL). The phases were separated and

the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 15 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (1 x 30 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (5 x 24 cm SiO₂, 50% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the β -keto ester **11** as a yellow oil (2.4152 g, 70% yield).

A portion of this β -keto ester (500.0 mg, 2.57 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added to a suspension of anhydrous K₂CO₃ (711.8 mg, 5.15 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in acetone (2.5 mL). To the reaction mixture was added *t*-butyl bromoacetate (760.5 μ L, 5.15 mmol, 2.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was then warmed to 50 °C and stirred for 48 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled, filtered, and the solids washed with acetone. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (3 x 20 cm SiO₂, 10 \rightarrow 30% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired quaternary compound (±)-**12** as a colorless oil (684.7 mg, 86% yield; 60% overall yield for 2 steps). $R_f = 0.28$ (30% Et₂O in pentane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.93 (s, 1H), 5.87 (dddd, J = 17.3, 10.5, 5.4, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (m, 2H), 4.61 (m, 2H), 2.83 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 2.58-2.36 (comp. m, 2H), 2.31-2.16 (comp. m, 2H), 1.94 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 194.2, 169.8, 161.9, 131.7, 125.6, 118.2, 81.1, 65.8, 54.2, 39.8, 30.5, 28.7, 28.0, 24.2; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2979, 1733, 1677, 1368, 1153 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{17}H_{24}O_5$ [M]⁺: 308.1624, found 308.1609.

Method 3 (Diallyl Carbonate Method):

Allyl 1-methyl-2-oxo-5-ethyleneketal-cyclohexanecarboxylate (Table 2, Entry 1):



Part 1 Acylation:

To a cooled (0 °C) suspension of NaH (9.22 g, 240.1 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in THF (125 mL) was added a solution of 1,4-cyclohexanedione *mono*-ethylene ketal (15.0 g, 96 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (30 mL) dropwise over 15 min. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and diallyl carbonate (20.65 mL, 144.0 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for 16 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl and 1 N HCl until a pH of 4 was reached. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (7 x 150 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, concentrated, redissolved in DCM, dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated.

Part 2 Alkylation:

The resulting oil was added to a suspension of anhydrous K_2CO_3 (26.5 g, 192.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in acetone (128 mL). To the reaction mixture was added iodomethane (12.0 mL, 192.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and the reaction mixture then heated to 50 °C for 14 h. The mixture was then cooled to r.t., filtered, and the solids washed with acetone. The filtrate was concentrated and the resulting oil purified by flash chromatography (SiO₂, 5→40% Et₂O in hexanes) to afford the desired quaternary compound as a colorless oil (18.0 g, 74% yield). $R_f = 0.28$ (30% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.90 (dddd, J = 17.4, 10.5, 5.7, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (m, 2H), 4.60 (m, 2H), 3.97 (comp. m, 4H), 3.02 (dt, J = 14.8, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (dt, J = 14.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (dt, J = 14.8, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.00 (comp. m, 2H), 1.72

(d, J = 14.1 Hz, 1H), 1.29 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.0, 172.9, 131.6, 118.5, 106.5, 65.9, 64.8, 64.3, 54.6, 43.6, 37.4, 35.2, 21.7; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2939, 2891, 1733, 1717, 1304, 1141 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₃H₁₈O₅ [M]⁺: 254.1154, found 254.1153.

Table 1. Catalytic Enantioconvergent Decarboxylative Allylation of α -Substituted 2-Carboxyallyl Cyclohexanones.

(+)-		Pd ₂ (d (<i>S</i>)- <i>t</i> -BuP	ba) ₃ (2.5 mol% HOX (<i>1</i> , 6.25 m	6) C	R	+ 00
(1)		THF or Et_2O , 25-30 °C				+ 002
entry	R	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	% yield ^[a]	% ee ^[b]
1	CH₃	THF	25	7.5	85	88
2	CH ₃	Et ₂ O	25	4.75	89	88
3	prenyl	Et ₂ O	30	6	97	91
4	CH ₂ CH ₂ CN	Et ₂ O	25	6.5	97	88
5 ^[c]	CH ₂ CH ₂ CO ₂ Et	Et ₂ O	25	6	96	90
6	CH ₂ C ₆ H ₅	THF	25	0.5	99	85
7	$CH_2(4-CH_3OC_6H_4)$	THF	25	10	80	86
8	CH ₂ (4-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄)	THF	25	0.5	99	82
9 [c]	CH₂OTBDPS	THF	25	5	86	81
10	F	Et ₂ O	30	3.5	80	91

[a] Isolated yield from reaction of 1.0 mmol substrate at 0.033 M in solvent, unless otherwise noted. [b] Determined by chiral GC or HPLC. [c] $4 \mod \theta \operatorname{Pd}_2(\operatorname{dba})_3$, 10 mol% (S)-t-BuPHOX (1), 0.021 M.

Characterization data for substrate compounds:



SM1: Prepared by method 1. The reaction was quenched with 10% HCl. The product was isolated by bulb-to-bulb distillation once at 150-155 °C (bath temp, 2 torr), then at 136 °C (bath temp, 2 torr). 75% yield. $R_f = 0.53$ (10:1 Hexane:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of enol tautomers) δ 12.14 (s, 0.7H), 5.99 (dddd, J = 5.7, 5.7, 10.8, 17.1 Hz, 0.7H), 5.96 (dddd, J = 5.7, 5.7, 10.2, 17.1 Hz, 0.3H), 5.38 (dddd, J = 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 17.1 Hz, 0.3H), 5.37 (dddd, J = 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 17.1 Hz, 0.7H), 5.24 (dddd, J = 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.72-4.55 (m, 2H), 3.41 (ddd, J = 1.5, 6.6, 9.6 Hz, 0.3H), 2.52 (dddd, J = 1.5, 5.4, 5.4, 14.1 Hz, 0.3H), 2.37 (m, 0.3H), 2.26 (m, 2.7H), 2.22-2.10 (m, 0.6H), 2.04-1.78 (m, 0.9H), 1.75-1.55 (m, 3.3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 205.9, 172.4, 172.2, 169.6, 132.3, 131.8, 118.4, 117.7, 97.5, 65.6, 64.6, 57.2, 41.5, 29.9, 29.1, 27.0, 23.3, 22.3, 22.3, 21.9; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3086, 2941, 1746, 1716, 1659, 1617, 1299, 1259, 1217, 1176, 831 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₀H₁₄O₃ [M]⁺: 182.0943, found 182.0941.



Table 1, Entry 1. Prepared by method 1. 62% yield. $R_f = 0.38$ (10:1 Hexane:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.85 (dddd, J = 17.1, 10.2, 5.9, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (m, 2H), 4.59 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 2.58-2.34 (comp. m, 3H), 2.08-1.88 (m, 1H), 1.80-1.54 (comp. m, 3H), 1.52-1.37 (m, 1H), 1.27 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.9, 172.6, 131.4, 118.7, 65.6, 57.1, 40.5, 38.1, 27.4, 22.5, 21.1; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3086, 2939, 2867, 1715, 1452, 1259, 1211, 1159, 1084, 976 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₅H₁₆O₃ [M]⁺: 196.1099, found 196.1096.



Table 1, Entry 3. Prepared by method 3 part B from **SM1** and prenyl bromide. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 2→12% Et₂O in pentane). 20% yield. $R_f = 0.24$ (10% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.87 (dddd, J = 17.1, 10.4, 5.8, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.31 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 2.65-2.27 (comp. m, 5H), 2.07-1.93 (m, 1H), 1.79-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.66-1.59 (m, 1H), 1.58 (s, 3H), 1.54-1.39 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.7, 171.4, 134.8, 131.6, 118.8, 118.5, 65.7, 61.3, 41.2, 35.5, 33.2, 27.5, 26.0, 22.5, 17.8; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2938, 2863, 1714, 1451, 1438, 1210, 1178, 989 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₅H₂₂O₃ [M]⁺: 250.1569, found 250.1574.



Table 1, Entry 4. Prepared by method 3 part B from **SM1** and acrylonitrile. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 10% Et₂O in pentane). 55% yield. $R_f = 0.27$ (CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.91 (dddd, J = 17.6, 10.2, 6.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.41-5.25 (m, 2H), 4.68 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.64-2.38 (comp. m, 4H), 2.37-2.13 (comp. m, 2H), 2.13-1.86 (comp. m, 2H), 1.85-1.40 (comp. m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.0, 170.6, 130.9, 120.0, 119.3, 66.4, 59.7, 40.9, 36.7, 30.8, 27.4, 22.4, 13.0; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2945, 2868, 2248, 1713, 1450, 1192, 1136, 941 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₃H₁₇NO₃ [M]⁺: 235.1208, found 235.1218.



Table 1, Entry 5. Prepared by method 3 part B from **SM1** and ethyl acrylate. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 10% Et₂O in pentane). 81% yield. $R_f = 0.37$ (30% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.89 (dddd, J = 17.3, 10.3, 5.9, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dd, J = 17.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, J = 10.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (app. t, J = 14.9 Hz, 2H), 4.11 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.51-2.31 (comp. m, 4H), 2.31-2.11 (comp. m, 2H), 2.08-1.85 (comp. m, 2H), 1.84-1.57 (comp. m, 3H), 1.55-1.40 (m, 1H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.4, 173.0, 171.4, 131.3, 119.3, 65.9, 60.4, 60.1, 41.0, 36.2, 29.6, 29.5, 27.5, 22.5, 14.2; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2943, 2868, 1734, 1715, 1456, 1181 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₅H₂₂O₅ [M]⁺: 282.1467, found 282.1474.



Table 1, Entry 7. To a cooled (0 °C) solution of SM1 (4.00 g, 22.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (40 ml) was added 35% aqueous formaldehyde (11.3 mL) and KHCO₃ (5.93 g, 65.9 mmol, 3.0 equiv). After 30 min at 0 °C the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After an additional 90 min, the reaction was quenched with water (100 mL) and DCM (100mL). After the layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(4 \times 50 \text{ mL})$, the combined organics dried (Na_2SO_4) , and evaporated. The oil obtained was treated with THF (40 mL) and 3M HCl (4 drops) for 60 min, concentrated, and purified by flash chromatography (SiO₂, $10 \rightarrow 45\%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to give SM2 (3.75g, 81% yield). To a cooled (0 °C) suspension of 60% NaH (251 mg, 6.28 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in DMF (20 mL) was added SM2 (1.20g, 5.71 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in a dropwise manner over 2 min. Once gas evolution had ceased (10 min), Bu₄NI (527 mg, 1.43 mmol. 0.25 equiv) and PMB-Cl (930 μ L, 6.85 mmol, 1.2 equiv) were added, and the reaction mixture slowly allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After 12 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with water (50 mL) and 2/1 DCM/hexanes (50 mL), the aqueous layer extracted with 2/1 DCM/hexanes (3 x 50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), evaporated, and purified by flash chromatography (SiO₂, $10 \rightarrow 20\%$ Et₂O in hexanes) to give the desired compound (485 mg, 28% yield). $R_f = 0.30$ (30% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.03 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.89-5.76 (m, 1H) 5.31-5.21 (m, 2H), 4.59-4.47 (m, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.25 (d, J = 14.1 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (d, J = 14.1 Hz, 1H), 2.51-2.35 (m, 3H), 2.04-1.96 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.54 (m, 3H), 1.50-1.40 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.2, 170.8, 158.4, 131.4, 131.3, 128.4, 119.1, 113.4, 65.8, 62.3, 55.1, 41.3, 39.5, 35.8, 27.5, 22.5; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2943, 1713, 1612, 1513, 1247, 1179 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{18}H_{22}O_4$ [M]⁺: 302.1518, found 302.1514.



Table 1, Entry 8. Prepared by method 1 with 4-(trifluoroumethyl)benzyl bromide. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 2→12% Et₂O in pentane). 56% yield. Mp 40-41 °C; $R_f = 0.63$ (30% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 7.29 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 5.45 (dddd, J = 17.3, 10.4, 6.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (m, 2H), 4.18 (m, 2H), 3.34 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 2.37-2.15 (comp. m, 3H), 1.57-1.38 (comp. m, 2H), 1.32-1.11 (comp. m, 2H), 1.09-0.94 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.7, 170.4, 140.8 (q, $J_{CF} = 1.2$ Hz), 131.0, 130.7, 129.0 (q, $J_{CF} = 32.3$ Hz), 124.9 (q, $J_{CF} = 3.9$ Hz), 124.2 (q, $J_{CF} = 271.7$ Hz), 119.4, 65.9, 62.2, 41.2, 40.2, 36.2, 27.5, 22.5; ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -63.0; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2945, 1715, 1326, 1164, 1123, 1068 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₈H₁₉F₃O₃ [M]⁺: 340.1286, found 340.1277.



Table 1, Entry 9. To a solution of **SM2** (1.20 g, 5.71 mmol. 1.0 equiv), imidazole (583 mg, 8.57 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and DMAP (1.04 g, 8.57 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in DMF (20 mL) was added TBDPS-Cl (1.75 mL, 6.85 mmol, 1.2 equiv). After 24 h at ambient temperature, the reaction mixture was poured into water (75 mL) and 2/1 DCM/hexanes (150 mL), extracted with 2/1 DCM/hexanes (4 x 30 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 2.5→12% EtOAc in hexanes) gave the desired compound (1.85 g, 72% yield). Mp 59-60 °C; *R_f* = 0.24 (10% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.72-7.68 (m, 4H), 7.48-7.37 (m, 6H), 6.00-5.86 (m, 1H), 5.38-5.31 (m, 1H), 5.28-5.23 (m, 1H), 4.74-4.59 (m, 2H), 4.24 (d, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (d, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (dq, *J* = 13.4, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.53-2.38 (m, 2H), 2.10-1.99 (m, 1H), 1.88-1.54 (m, 4H) 1.07 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.4, 169.8, 135.6, 135.5, 133.1, 132.9, 131.5, 129.6, 127.6(2C), 118.8, 66.4, 65.8, 62.9, 41.2, 33.6, 27.3, 26.6, 22.1, 19.2; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3072, 2933, 2858, 1715, 1428, 1200, 1112, 703 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calc'd for C₂₇H₃₃O₄Si [M-H]⁺: 449.2148, found 449.2165.

Allyl 2-Fluoro-2-Cyclohexanonecarboxylate:^[9]



Table 1, Entry 10. To a solution of **SM1** (946.4 mg, 5.19 mmol, 1 equiv) in 50 mL CH₃CN, was added TiCl₄ (50 mL, 0.456 mmol, 0.09 equiv). Select-fluor (2.2224 g, 6.27 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added after 10 min and the mixture stirred at rt for 2 h and 40 min, over which time the orange color disappeared. The mixture was partitioned between H₂O (200 mL) and Et₂O (50 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and washed with Et₂O (30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), concentrated to about 30 mL, passed through a pad of silica which was washed with Et₂O (5 x 10 mL), and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was the bulb-to-bulb distilled at 180-190 °C (bath temp, 2 torr) to afford the title compound

as a colorless oil (947.6 mg, 91% yield). $R_f = 0.19$ (10:1 Hexane:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.93 (dddd, J = 5.7, 5.7, 10.5, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (dddd, J = 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (dddd, J = 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (bd, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 2.80-2.67 (m, 1H), 2.66-2.38 (m, 2H), 2.24-2.10 (m, 1H), 1.98-1.80 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.5 (d, $J_{CF} = 19.8$ Hz), 166.4 (d, $J_{CF} = 24.8$ Hz), 130.8, 119.2, 96.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 196.9$ Hz), 66.5, 39.4, 35.8 (d, $J_{CF} = 21.8$ Hz), 26.4, 20.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 6.0$ Hz); IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3087, 2952, 1759, 1735, 1650, 1452, 1281, 1223, 1150, 1097, 990 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₀H₁₃O₃F [M]⁺: 200.0849, found 200.0858.

entry	substrate	product	temp (°C)	time (h)	% yield ^{[a}] % ee ^[b]
1	CO ₂ allyl		25	1.5	94	85
2 ^[c]	\sim	\sim	25	24	94	86
3	CO ₂ allyl		30	9	89	90
4	CO ₂ allyl		25	5	90	85
5 ^[d,e]	CO ₂ allyl		30	4	77	90
6 ^[d]	CO ₂ aliyi		25	10	97	92
7	CO ₂ allyl		25	9.5	83	87
8 ^[d]		O ↓ ↓ R = CH₃	35	6.5	87	92
9 [d,e]			35	2.5	87	91
10	CO ₂ allyl	O N Bn	25	2.5	91	92

Table 2. Enantioconvergent Decarboxylative Allylation of β -Ketoesters.

[a] Isolated yield from reaction of 1.0 mmol substrate, 2.5 mol% $Pd_2(dba)_3$, and 6.25 mol% (*S*)-*t*-BuPHOX (**1**) at 0.033 M in THF, unless otherwise noted. [b] Determined by chiral GC or HPLC. ^{*c*} 25 mmol substrate, 1.5 mol% $Pd_2(dba)_3$, and 3.75 mol% (*S*)-*t*-BuPHOX (**1**). ^{*d*} Performed in Et₂O. [c] 4 mol% $Pd_2(dba)_3$, and 10 mol% (*S*)-*t*-BuPHOX (**1**), at 0.021 M.

Table 2, Entry 3. To a cooled (-78 °C) solution of LDA (13.5 mmol, 1.12 equiv) in THF (30 mL) was added 2,2,6-trimethylcyclohexanone (1.6938 g, 12.08 mmol, 1.0 equiv) dropwise. The resulting solution was warmed to 0 °C for 1 hour, cooled to -78 °C and HMPA (2.2 mL, 12.6 mmol, 1.04 equiv) was added. After 5 min, allyl cyanoformate (1.5014g, 13.5 mmol, 1.12 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction was warmed to rt and allowed to stir overnight. The reaction was then quenched with 50% saturated NH_4Cl (40 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and washed with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (Na_2SO_4), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (SiO₂, 3% Et₂O in hexanes) to afford the β -keto ester as a colorless oil (585.6 mg, 22%), along with the known enol carbonate (R_f = 0.53, 10:1 Hexane:EtOAc) as a colorless oil (1.3117 g, 48%). $R_f = 0.50 (10:1)$ Hexane:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.87 (dddd, J = 5.7, 5.7, 10.2, 16.8 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (dddd, J = 1.2, 1.2, 1.2, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dddd, J = 0.9, 0.9, 0.9, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.62 J = 2.4, 3.9, 3.9, 13.8 Hz, 1H), 1.98 (ddddd, J = 3, 4.2, 12, 14.1, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 1.77-1.68 (m, 1H), 1.66-1.52 (m, 2H), 1.42 (ddd, J = 4.2, 12.3, 13.8, 1H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.09 (s, 3H), 1.06 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) & 211.4, 172.4, 131.5, 118.8, 65.7, 55.1, 46.1, 40.6, 36.8, 26.8, 25.5, 23.6, 18.5; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3089, 2938, 1736, 1707, 1649, 1456, 1377, 1243, 1209, 1174, 1150, 972 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for $C_{13}H_{20}O_3$ [M]⁺: 224.1413, found 224.1413.



Table 2, Entry 4. Prepared by a modification of method 3. Part 1: Reaction of 3,3,5,5tetramethylcyclohexanone in benzene (1 M) at 80 °C for 40 h using NaH (2 equiv) and diallylcarbonate (3 equiv) gave an ~1:1 mixture of mono and bisacylated material after flash chromatography (SiO₂, 1→8% Et₂O in hexanes). Part 2: Reaction in acetone (0.42 M) at 75 °C in a sealed flask for 24 h using Cs₂CO₃ (3 equiv) and MeI (4 equiv). Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 1→4% Et₂O in hexanes) gave the desired compound. 25% overall yield. $R_f = 0.60$ (25% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.87 (dddd, J = 17.4, 10.5, 6.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 6.0Hz, 2H), 2.78 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.23-2.12 (comp. m, 2H), 1.33 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.11 (s, 3H), 1.04 (s, 3H), 1.01 (s, 3H), 0.92 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.9, 171.5, 131.5, 118.8, 65.5, 62.6, 51.7, 49.4, 40.9, 34.8, 34.5, 29.6, 27.7, 26.9, 14.7; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3087, 2959, 1715, 1456, 1371, 1216, 1101, 979 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/zcalc'd for C₁₅H₂₄O₃ [M]⁺: 252.1725, found 252.1719.



Table 2, Entry 5. Prepared by method 3 from cyclohex-2-en-1-one. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂). 23% yield. $R_f = 0.38$ (30% Et₂O in pentane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)

δ 6.92 (m, 1H), 6.06 (dt, J = 10.1, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.86 (dddd, J = 17.3, 10.4, 5.6, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (m, 2H), 4.61 (m, 2H), 2.57-2.41 (m, 2H), 2.41-2.27 (m, 1H), 1.97-1.82 (m, 1H), 1.41 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.8, 172.3, 149.4, 131.6, 128.9, 118.3, 65.7, 53.4, 33.3, 23.6, 20.3; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2936, 1733, 1678, 1249, 1192, 1110 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₁H₁₄O₃ [M]⁺: 194.0943, found 194.0941.



Table 2, Entry 6. Prepared by method 3 from 1-tetralone. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 10% Et₂O in pentane). 60% yield. $R_f = 0.61$ (30% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.06 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (app. t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (app. t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.79 (dddd, J = 17.1, 10.7, 5.4, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.19-5.09 (m, 2H), 4.58 (m, 2H), 3.12-2.87 (m, 2H), 2.68-2.57 (m, 1H), 2.13-2.01 (m, 1H), 1.52 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 195.9, 172.5, 143.1, 133.4, 131.7, 131.5, 128.7, 128.0, 126.8, 118.0, 65.6, 53.9, 33.9, 26.0, 20.6; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3071, 2982, 2938, 1736, 1690, 1602, 1456, 1377, 1308, 1228, 1189, 1112, 979, 743 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₅H₁₆O₃ [M]⁺: 244.1099, found 244.1094.



Table 2, Entry 7. Prepared by method 3 from cycloheptanone. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 25→100% CH₂Cl₂ in pentane). 30% yield. $R_f = 0.60$ (30% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.88 (dddd, J = 17.3, 10.4, 5.6, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (m, 2H), 4.61 (m, 2H), 2.81-2.67 (m, 1H), 2.57-2.45 (m, 1H), 2.25-2.11 (m, 1H), 1.91-1.70 (comp. m, 3H), 1.69-1.49 (comp. m, 4H), 1.36 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.2, 131.6, 118.5, 65.6, 58.8, 42.0, 35.4, 30.1, 25.8, 24.7, 21.5; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2936, 1740, 1710, 1229, 1151, 1105 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₂H₁₈O₃ [M]⁺: 210.1256, found 210.1249.



Table 2, Entry 8. Prepared by method 3 from cyclohexanone with dimethyallyl carbonate in part 1. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 10% Et₂O in pentane). 46% yield. $R_f = 0.24$ (10% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.94 (m, 2H), 4.54 (s, 2H), 2.58-2.42 (comp. m, 3H), 2.08-1.93 (m, 1H), 1.80-1.57 (comp. m, 6H), 1.55-1.40 (m, 1H), 1.32 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.1, 172.8, 139.4, 113.5, 68.4, 57.2, 40.6, 38.2, 27.5, 22.6, 21.3, 19.5; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2940, 2867, 1715, 1452, 1260, 1211, 1160, 1086, 907 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₂H₁₈O₃ [M]⁺: 210.1256, found 210.1256.



Table 2, Entry 9. Prepared by method 3 from cyclohexanone with 1.25 equiv of 2chloroallyl carbonate (*vide infra*) in part 1. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 10% Et₂O in pentane). 62% yield. $R_f = 0.20$ (10% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.45 (m, 2H), 4.71 (m, 2H), 2.62-2.41 (comp. m, 3H), 2.10-1.93 (m, 1H), 1.81-1.62 (comp. m, 3H), 1.57-1.41 (m, 1H), 1.34 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.8, 172.3, 135.4, 115.8, 66.5, 57.2, 40.6, 38.2, 27.4, 22.5, 21.2; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2942, 2868, 1716, 1640, 1453, 1248, 1221, 1153, 1084, 903 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₁H₁₅O₃Cl [M]⁺: 230.0710, found 230.0711.



Table 2, Entry 10. Prepared by method 3 from 1-benzylpiperidin-4-one (part 1) and iodoethane (part 2). Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 2.5→20% EtOAc in hexanes). 55% yield. $R_f = 0.50$ (30% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33-7.25 (m, 5H), 5.90 (dddd, J = 17.4, 10.7, 5.7, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (dq, J = 17.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dq, J = 10.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (ddt, J = 13.0, 6.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (ddt, J = 13.0, 6.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (dd, J = 11.4, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.04-2.80 (m, 2H), 2.45-2.35 (m, 2H), 2.25 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 1.94-1.82 (m, 1H), 1.65-1.53 (m, 1H), 0.87 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.9, 171.3, 137.9, 131.7, 128.8, 128.2, 127.3, 118.7, 65.6, 61.8, 61.5, 61.0, 53.5, 40.6, 25.2, 9.1; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2966, 2939, 1719, 1224, 1139, 699 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₈H₂₃O₃ [M]⁺: 301.1678, found 301.1691.

General Procedure for Enantioconvergent Allylation.

(S)-2-allyl-2-methylcyclohexanone (Table 1, Entry 1):

A 100 mL rb flask was equipped with a magnetic stir bar and flame dried under vacuum. After cooling under dry nitrogen, $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (22.9 mg, 0.025 mmol, 0.025 equiv) and (S)-t-BuPHOX (24.2 mg, 0.0625 mmol, 0.0625 equiv) were added. The flask containing the solids was evacuated for 15 min and then refilled with dry nitrogen. Dry THF (30 mL) was then added and the resulting solution stirred at 25 °C for 30 min. At this point, allyl 1-methyl-2-oxocyclohexanecarboxylate was added via syringe in one portion. When the reaction was complete by TLC, the reaction mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, 1.5 \rightarrow 2.5% Et₂O in pentane) to afford (S)-2-allyl-2-methylcyclohexanone (129.6 mg, 85% yield, 88% ee).

The absolute stereochemistry of this product matches that found in our previous work.^[1b] The observed stereochemistry of all other known compounds produced in this work matched that of our previous work as well. The shown absolute stereochemistry for all new compounds is inferred by analogy.

Characterization data for new product compounds:



Reaction performed in Et₂O at 30 °C . Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 3% Et₂O in pentane). 73% yield. $R_f = 0.45$ (30% Et₂O in pentane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.84 (s, 1H), 5.70 (dddd, J = 16.8, 10.2, 7.3, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.12-5.11 (m, 2H), 2.71 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 2.48-2.13 (comp. m, 6H), 1.93 (s, 3H), 1.91-1.81 (m, 1H), 1.40 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.0, 170.8, 160.3, 133.3, 125.4, 118.7, 80.5, 45.4, 40.5, 39.0, 29.8, 28.1, 27.8, 24.1; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2978, 1728, 1670, 1367, 1213, 1152 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₆H₂₅O₃ [M+H]⁺: 265.1804, found 265.1803; [α]D^{25.4} –39.22° (*c* 1.05, CH₂Cl₂, 86% ee).



Table 1, Entry 3. Reaction performed in Et₂O at 30 °C. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 1.5→2.5% Et₂O in pentane). 97% yield. $R_f = 0.38$ (10% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.67 (dddd, J = 16.5, 10.6, 7.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.07-4.93 (comp. m, 3H), 2.44-2.24 (comp. m, 5H), 2.16 (dd, J = 14.6, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.89-1.64 (comp. m, 9H), 1.60 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 214.7, 134.2, 134.1, 119.0, 117.7, 52.1, 39.4, 39.3, 35.9, 33.3, 27.1, 26.0, 20.9, 18.0; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3075, 2934, 2863, 1706, 1446, 1124, 914 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₄H₂₃O [M+H]⁺: 207.1749, found 207.1744; [α]_D^{26.0} +1.95° (*c* 1.29, CH₂Cl₂, 91% ee).



Table 1, Entry 4. Reaction performed in Et₂O. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 25% Et₂O in pentane). 97% yield. $R_f = 0.32$ (CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.60 (dddd, J = 16.7, 10.4, 7.4, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.17-5.07 (m, 2H), 2.53-2.16 (comp. m, 6H), 2.03-1.62 (comp. m, 8H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.2, 131.9, 120.0, 119.3, 50.8, 39.0, 38.9, 35.4, 30.6, 26.9, 20.5, 12.1; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3081, 2939, 2863, 2246, 1702, 1453, 1126, 921 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₁₂H₁₇NO [M]⁺: 191.1310, found 191.1307; [α]D^{25.6} -27.00° (*c* 1.56, CH₂Cl₂, 88% ee).



Table 1, Entry 5. Reaction performed in Et₂O. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 5 \rightarrow 14% Et₂O in pentane). 96% yield. $R_f = 0.44$ (30% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃)

δ 5.66 (dddd, J = 16.2, 10.9, 7.7, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.11-5.07 (m, 1H), 5.07-5.02 (m, 1H), 4.11 (app. q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.48-2.18 (comp. m, 5H), 2.16-1.94 (comp. m, 2H), 1.90-1.65 (comp. m, 7H), 1.24 (app. t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 214.2, 173.5, 133.3, 118.3, 60.4, 50.8, 39.1, 39.0, 36.2, 29.7, 28.8, 27.0, 20.7, 14.2; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3076, 2937, 2866, 1735, 1704, 1454, 1377, 1309, 1181, 917 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* calc'd for $C_{14}H_{22}O_3$ [M]⁺: 238.1569, found 238.1574; [α]_D^{25.8} +9.60° (*c* 1.13, CH₂Cl₂, 90% ee).



Table 1, Entry 7. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 3% Et₂O in pentane). 80% yield. $R_f = 0.54$ (30% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.02 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.72 (dddd, J = 17.1, 9.8, 7.0, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 5.12-4.98 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 2.84 (s, 2H), 2.53-2.34 (m, 2H), 2.33-2.17 (m, 2H), 1.91-1.70 (comp. m, 4H), 1.70-1.61 (comp. m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 214.3, 158.1, 133.9, 131.5, 129.5, 118.1, 113.4, 55.2, 52.6, 40.1, 39.6, 39.3, 35.4, 26.8, 20.8; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3076, 2935, 2863, 2361, 1702, 1611, 1513, 1456, 1249, 1179, 1036, 834 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₇H₂₂O₂ [M]⁺: 258.1620, found 258.1627; [α]D^{25.9} +3.60° (*c* 1.05, CH₂Cl₂, 86% ee).



Table 1, Entry 8. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 8→14% Et₂O in pentane). 99% yield. $R_f = 0.85$ (30% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.71 (dddd, J = 17.0, 10.1, 7.4, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.17-5.04 (m, 2H), 3.01 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.50-2.31 (comp. m, 3H), 2.29-2.17 (m, 1H), 1.97-1.82 (m, 1H), 1.82-1.69 (comp. m, 3H), 1.70-1.59 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.3, 142.0 (q, $J_{CF} = 1.2$ Hz), 133.0, 130.9, 128.4 (q, $J_{CF} = 32.3$ Hz), 124.7 (q, $J_{CF} = 3.9$ Hz), 124.2 (q, $J_{CF} = 271.7$ Hz), 118.5, 52.5, 40.4, 39.3, 39.3, 35.5, 26.6, 20.6; ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -62.9; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3076, 2940, 2867, 1705, 1618, 1418, 1326, 1164, 1123, 1068, 852 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₇H₁₉ F₃O [M]⁺: 296.1388, found 296.1402; [α]_D^{26.6} −16.31° (*c* 1.17, CH₂Cl₂, 82% ee).



Table 1, Entry 9. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 1→2.5% EtOAc in hexanes). 92% yield. R_f = 0.32 (5% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.68-7.64 (m, 4H), 7.46-7.36 (m, 6H), 5.69-5.55 (m, 1H), 5.38-5.31 (m, 1H), 5.08-4.99 (m, 2H), 3.84 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.40-2.20 (m, 2H), 1.90-1.60 (m, 6H), 1.06 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.4, 135.7, 133.8, 133.3(2C), 129.7, 129.6, 127.6(2C), 117.9, 66.4, 53.8, 39.7, 37.3, 34.0, 26.9(2C), 21.0, 19.3; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3072, 2933, 2858, 1708, 1428, 1113, 703 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₂₆H₃₅O₂Si [M+H]⁺: 407.2406, found 407.2398; [α]_D²⁵ –3.96° (*c* 5.00, CHCl₃, 81% ee).



Table 1, Entry 10. Reaction performed in Et₂O at 30 °C. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 2% Et₂O/pentane). 80% yield. $R_f = 0.36$ (10:1 Hexane:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.88-5.71 (m, 1H), 5.20-5.10 (m, 2H), 2.76-2.31 (m, 4H), 2.16-2.02 (m, 1H), 1.99-1.78 (m, 4H), 1.75-1.60 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 20.0$ Hz), 130.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 3.8$ Hz), 119.2, 97.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 184.3$ Hz), 39.4, 38.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 22.7$ Hz), 37.3 (d, $J_{CF} = 22.2$ Hz), 27.2, 21.4 (d, $J_{CF} = 6.6$ Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -158.15; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3080, 2946, 1729, 1642, 1453, 1433, 1126, 923 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calc'd for C₉H₁₃OF [M]⁺: 156.0950, found 156.0946; [α]D^{24.4} -74.65° (*c* 1.05, CH₂Cl₂, 91% ee).



Table 2, Entry 4. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 1→4% Et₂O in hexanes). 90% yield. $R_f = 0.48$ (10% Et₂O in hexanes); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.63-5.46 (m, 1H), 5.10-4.94 (m, 2H), 2.61 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (d, J = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 2.11 (d, J = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 2.02 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 1.83 (d, J = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 1.40 (d, J = 14.5 Hz, 1H), 1.02 (s, 3H), 0.99 (s, 3H), 0.95 (s, 3H), 0.92 (s, 3H), 0.90 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 215.5, 134.2, 117.9, 53.8, 51.0, 49.5, 40.5, 39.1, 35.7, 33.7, 29.8, 26.9, 26.3, 15.4; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3077, 2957, 1708, 1639, 1460, 1392, 1370, 913 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₄H₂₄O [M]⁺: 208.1827, found 208.1837; [α]_D^{22.5} -4.14° (*c* 2.705, hexane, 85% ee).



Table 2, Entry 9. Reaction performed in Et₂O at 35 °C with 4 mol% Pd₂(dba)₃ (45.8 mg, 0.040 mmol), and 10 mol% (*S*)-*t*-BuPHOX (48.4 mg, 0.10 mmol). Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 1→2.5% Et₂O in pentane). 87% yield. $R_f = 0.63$ (30% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.27 (app. d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.15-5.09 (m, 1H), 2.80 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.56-2.37 (m, 2H), 1.94-1.61 (comp. m, 6H), 1.17 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 214.4, 138.7, 116.3, 48.4, 46.5, 39.2, 38.8, 27.4, 22.7, 21.1; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2936, 2868, 1708, 1630, 1456, 1126, 887 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₀H₁₆ClO [M+H]⁺: 187.0890, found 187.0884; [α]_D^{26.6} −5.40° (*c* 3.21, CH₂Cl₂, 91% ee).



Table 2, Entry 10. Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 5 \rightarrow 7% Et₂O in pentane). 91% yield. $R_f = 0.29$ (10% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39-7.23 (comp. m, 5H), 5.62 (dddd, J = 12.3, 9.6, 7.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (m, 1H), 4.99 (m, 1H), 3.56 (s, 2H), 2.83-2.69 (m,

1H), 2.65-2.33 (comp. m, 6H), 2.33-2.20 (m, 1H) 1.95 (dq, J = 15.3, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.51 (dq, J = 15.0, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 0.75 (dd, J = 7.5, 7.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.6, 138.6, 133.8, 128.7, 128.3, 127.2, 117.8, 62.2, 61.8, 53.4, 52.2, 39.3, 37.3, 26.7, 7.8; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3065, 3028, 2965, 2801, 1709, 1454, 1352, 1200, 915, 699 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₇H₂₃NO [M]⁺: 257.1780, found 257.1772; $[\alpha]_D^{26.6}$ +31.21° (*c* 1.51, CH₂Cl₂, 92% ee).

Synthesis of substrate (±)-13.

Allyl 2,6-Dimethyl-2-Cyclohexanonecarboxylate (SM3):

To a cooled (-78 °C) solution of LDA (8.0 mmol, 1.09 equiv) in THF (24 mL) was added 2,6-dimethylcyclohexanone (1 mL, 7.33 mmol, 1.0 equiv) dropwise. The resulting solution was warmed to 0 °C for 1 hour, cooled to -78 °C and HMPA (1.3 mL, 7.47 mmol, 1.02 equiv) was added. After 15 min, allyl cyanoformate (845.3 mg, 7.61 mmol, 1.04 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction was warmed to RT for 30 min and then quenched with 50% saturated NH₄Cl. The aqueous layer was separated and washed with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (3 x 20 cm, SiO₂, 4% Et₂O in hexanes) to afford β -keto ester **SM3** as a colorless oil (629.1 mg, 41%), along with the corresponding enol carbonate as a colorless oil (187.1 mg, 12%).



 $R_f = 0.43$ (10:1 Hexane:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.86 (dddd, J = 6.0, 6.0, 10.5, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (dddd, J = 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dddd, J = 1.2, 1.2, 1.2, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (dddd, J = 1.2, 1.2, 5.4, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (dddd, J = 1.5, 1.5, 5.7, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 2.61-2.46 (m, 2H), 2.01 (dddd, J = 3.2, 3.2, 6.3, 16.2 Hz, 1H), 1.85-1.63 (m, 2H), 1.45-1.31 (m, 2H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.03 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.1, 172.9, 131.5, 118.7, 65.6, 57.1, 44.3, 38.9, 36.7, 22.8, 21.5, 14.7; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3087, 2936, 1743, 1715, 1649, 1452, 1377, 1253, 1214, 1161, 976 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₂H₁₈O₃ [M]⁺: 210.1256, found 210.1249.



 $R_f = 0.40$ (10:1 Hexane:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.96 (dddd, J = 5.7, 5.7, 10.8, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (dddd, J = 1.2, 1.2, 1.2, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (dddd, J = 1.2, 1.2, 1.2, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.70-4.60 (m. 2H), 2.54-2.40 (m, 1H), 2.04 (m, 2H), 1.92-1.80 (m, 1H), 1.73-1.51 (m, 2H), 1.55 (bs, 3H), 1.47-1.35 (m, 1H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.2, 146.0, 131.6, 121.1, 118.8, 68.5, 31.7, 31.2, 30.6, 20.0, 18.2, 16.0; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3089, 2934, 1755, 1650, 1455, 1366, 1247, 1132, 1035 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₂H₁₈O₃ [M]⁺: 210.1256, found 210.1249.





To a suspension of KH (155.9 mg, 3.89 mmol, 1.2 equiv, freed from a ~30% dispersion in mineral oil by washing with hexane) in 10 mL THF was added SM3 (680.9 mg, 3.24 mmol, 1 equiv) dropwise. The mixture was stirred at RT for 2.5 h, at which time it was cooled to -78 °C. Allyl chloroformate (420 uL, 3.95 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added and the mixture stirred 30 min at -78 °C, then 30 min at rt. The reaction was guenched with 50% saturated NH₄Cl (10 mL). Et₂O (5 mL) was added and the organic layer separated. The aqueous layer was washed with Et₂O (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and evaporated in vacuo. Silica gel chromatography (2 x 16 cm, 20:1 hexane:EtOAc) afforded the title compound 13 as a colorless oil (883 mg, 93% yield). $R_f = 0.29$ (10:1 Hexane:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.94 (dddd, J = 5.7, 5.7, 10.2, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (dddd, J = 5.7, 5.7, 10.5, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (dddd, J = 1.2, 1.2, 1.2, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.31J = 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.66-4.58 (m. 3H), 4.55 (dddd, J = 1.2, 1.2, 5.4, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.25-2.10 (m, 3H), 1.80-1.52 (m, 3H), 1.58 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 8 174.6, 152.9, 142.0, 132.2, 131.5, 124.7, 118.9, 117.7, 68.7, 65.5, 46.7, 35.8, 30.6, 22.4, 19.2, 17.0; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3087, 2942, 1760, 1732, 1649, 1452, 1366, 1235, 1168, 992 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₆H₂₂O₅ [M]⁺: 294.1467, found 294.1464.

Scheme 5. Enantioselective allylation cascade generating two quaternary carbon stereocenters.



(2S,6S)-2,6-diallyl-2,6-dimethylcyclohexanone ((-)-14):

A 50 mL rb flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar was flame dried under vacuum. After cooling under nitrogen, $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (31.4 mg, 0.0343 mmol, 0.034 equiv) and (*S*)-*t*-Bu-PHOX (31.3 mg, 0.0808 mmol, 0.080 equiv) were added. After the flask was evacuated and filled with nitrogen three times, THF (32 mL) was added and the contents were stirred at 25 °C for 30 min, at which time allyl 2-(allyloxycarbonyloxy)-1,3-dimethylcycohex-2-enecarboxylate (**13**, 298 mg, 1.012 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added by syringe in one portion. The reaction was stirred at 40 °C for 6 hours at which time TLC indicated complete reaction. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and then concentrated to ~1 mL under reduced pressure and the residue chromatographed (100 mL pentane, then 1 $\rightarrow 2\%$ Et₂O in pentane on 2 x 14 cm SiO₂) to afford the title compound **14** and a colorless, volatile oil (157.9 mg, 76% yield). GC analysis indicated the isolated compound was an 80:20 mixture ($R_f = 0.51$, 10:1 Hexane:EtOAc) of C_2 -symmetric:meso diastereomers. In order to obtain an analytical sample of the C_2 -symmetric product, the following reaction was performed on the mixture of diastereomers: A solution of the diastereomeric ketones (50.4 mg, 0.244 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 15 mL CH₂Cl₂ was degassed by bubbling Ar through the solution for 15 min. The second generation Grubbs catalyst (2 mg, 0.00236 mmol, 0.0097 equiv) was added and the mixture heated to 40 °C. After 90 min, GC analysis indicated none of the minor diastereomer was present. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and then concentrated to ~1-2 mL under reduced pressure and the residue chromatographed (75 mL pentane, then 2 \rightarrow 5% Et₂O in pentane on 1.5 x 24 cm SiO₂) to afford the C_2 -symmetric diallyl cyclohexanone (-)-14 (31 mg, 62%), the RCM product (8.3 mg) and 5.8 mg of a mixture of the two compounds.



 $R_f = 0.17$ (2% Et₂O in hexane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.65 (m, 2H), 5.10-4.95 (m, 4H), 2.33 (dd, J = 6.9, 13.8 Hz, 2H), 2.18 (dd, J = 7.8, 13.8 Hz, 2H), 1.87-1.68 (m, 4H), 1.59-1.48 (m, 2H), 1.06 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 218.6, 134.4, 118.0, 47.6, 43.9, 36.4, 25.0, 17.3; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3076, 2930, 1694, 1639, 1461, 1374, 992, 914 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₄H₂₂O [M]⁺: 206.1671, found 206.1675; [α]_D^{23.6} -54.04° (c 0.95, hexane, 92% ee).



 $R_f = 0.13$ (2% Et₂O in hexane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.83-5.72 (m, 2H), 2.53-2.35 (m, 3H), 2.03-1.85 (m, 4H), 1.75-1.62 (m. 2H), 1.48-1.37 (m, 1H), 1.09 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 217.9, 129.4, 48.5, 41.7, 39.0, 27.2, 20.0; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2965, 1735, 1699, 1458, 1378, 1239 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₁₂H₁₈O [M]⁺: 178.1358, found 178.1360.

Procedures for Preparation of Derivatives.

Derivatization of (S)-2-allyl-2,6,6-trimethylcyclohexanone:



A reaction tube was charged with $PdCl_2$ (10.9 mg, 0.0615 mmol, 0.1 equiv) and $Cu(OAc)_2$ monohydrate (50.9 mg, 0.280 mmol, 0.5 equiv). Dimethylacetamide (DMA, 1.8 mL) and H_2O (0.4 mL) were added, followed by a solution of (*S*)-2-allyl-2,6,6-trimethylcyclohexanone (100 mg, 0.555 mmol, 1 equiv) in DMA (1 mL). The resulting suspension was subjected to three freeze (-78 °C), pump, thaw cycles; backfilling with O_2 each time. The reaction was then allowed to stir under a balloon of O_2 for 20 hours. The reaction mixture was directly applied to a column of silica (1.5 x 26 cm) and eluted with 20% Et_2O /pentane to afford (*S*)-2,2,6-trimethyl-6-(2-oxopropyl)cyclohexanone (80.1 mg, 74%) as

a colorless oil: $R_f = 0.16$ (10:1 Hexane:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.27 (d, J = 18.3 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (d, J = 18 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 2.01-1.75 (m, 3H), 1.70-1.55 (m, 2H), 1.54-1.44 (m, 1H), 1.17 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 1.11 (s, 3H). A screw cap vial was charged with (*S*)-2,2,6-trimethyl-6-(2-oxopropyl)cyclohexanone (68.6 mg, 0.349, 1 equiv), xylenes (1.4 mL) added, followed by freshly powdered KOH (5.6 mg, 0.1 mmol, 0.29 equiv). The vial was capped and the reaction heated to 110 °C for 10 h. The cooled reaction mixture was then applied to a column of silica (2 x 12 cm) and eluted first with pentane and then with 30% Et₂O/pentane to afford (*S*)-4,4,7a-trimethyl-5,6,7,7a-tetrahydro-1*H*-inden-2(4*H*)-one (61 mg, 98%) as a colorless oil. $R_f = 0.11$ (10:1 Hexane:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.82 (s, 1H), 2.29 (s, 2H), 1.97-1.75 (m, 2H), 1.71-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.47-1.33 (m, 2H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.25 (s, 3H), 1.19 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.9, 194.2, 126.2, 54.6, 44.1, 41.4, 40.5, 36.4, 31.1, 27.3, 26.1, 18.9; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2927, 1713, 1697, 1601, 1460, 1260, 1166 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₂H₁₈O [M]⁺: 178.1358, found 179.1358; [α]D^{23.9} - 85.37° (*c* 1.07, CH₂Cl₂, 90% ee).



Oxidized via Wacker protocol.^[5] Flash chromatography (SiO₂, 5→20% EtOAc in hexanes). 84% yield. $R_f = 0.47$ (35% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.05 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.59 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 1.53 (d, J = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 1.16 (s, 3H), 1.02 (s, 3H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 3H), 0.90 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.4, 207.3, 52.5, 49.8, 49.3, 46.9, 41.2, 36.4, 32.1, 31.5, 31.0, 26.5, 25.8, 17.0; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 2956, 1710, 1696, 1411, 1367, 1174 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₁₄H₂₄O₂ [M]⁺: 224.1776, found 224.1769; $\lceil \alpha \rceil_D^{24.3}$ -10.78° (c 1.04, absolute ethanol, 85% ee).

Characterization data for other new compounds:



Prepared by the method of Tsuji.^[10] Purified by distillation (110 °C at 25 torr) to give 53% yield. $R_f = 0.53$ (10% Et₂O in pentane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.52 (m, 2H), 5.44 (m, 2H), 4.72 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.9, 134.9, 115.5, 69.3; IR (Neat Film NaCl) 3119, 2953, 2887, 1758, 1640, 1442, 1395, 1279, 974, 905 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI) m/z calc'd for C₇H₉O₃Cl₂ [M+H]⁺: 210.9929, found 210.9918.

entry	product	compound assayed	assay ^r conditions	etention time of major isomer (min)	retention time of minor isomer (min)	% ee
1		Bu O CO2t-Bu	GC, G-TA 130 °C isotherm	59.355	61.189	86
2			GC, G-TA 100 °C isotherm	11.132	12.742	88
3			GC, G-TA 100 °C isotherm	55.506	52.560	91
4	CN CN	CN O CN	GC, G-TA 150 °C isotherm	18.745	21.056	88
5	CO ₂ E	tt CO2Et	GC, G-TA 120 °C isotherm	90.978	94.220	90
6	i		HPLC Chiracel OJ 2 % EtOH in hexane isocratic, 1.0 mL/mir	19.805 າ	13.816	85
7			HPLC Chiracel AD 1 % EtOH in hexane isocratic, 1.0 mL/mir	12.868 1	15.360	86
8	i		CF ₃ GC, G-TA 120 °C isotherm for 120 mins, then ramp 3 °C/min	127.738	126.429	82
9	ООТВИ	OPS O OTBDPS	HPLC Chiracel OD-H 100 % hexane isocratic, 1.0 mL/min	16.753	23.908	81
10	O F		GC, G-TA 110 °C isotherm	6.274	8.015	91

Table 3. Methods for the determination of enantiomeric excess.

entry	product	compound assayed	assay conditions	retention time of major isomer (min)	retention time of minor isomer (min)	% ee
11			GC, G-TA 120 °C isotherm	26.896	28.635	86
12			HPLC Chiracel AD 2 % IPA in hexane isocratic, 1.0 mL/min	14.070	12.272	90
13			GC, G-TA 120 °C isotherm	49.120	50.574	85
14			GC, G-TA 100 °C isotherm	15.314	18.040	90
15			HPLC Chiracel OD-H 0.1 % IPA in heptan isocratic, 0.7 mL/mi	_e 19.965 n	21.481	92
16			GC, G-TA 125 °C isotherm	15.599	17.076	87
17			GC, G-TA 100 °C isotherm	15.763	17.649	92
18			GC, G-TA 100 °C isotherm	44.907	50.063	91
19	O N Bn	O N Bn	HPLC Chiracel OJ 1 % EtOH in hexane isocratic, 1.0 mL/mi	7.954 n	8.819	92
20 \$			GC, G-TA 75 °C isotherm	118.507	127.365	92

References:

[1] a) M. Peer, J. C. de Jong, M. Kiefer, T. Langer, H. Rieck, H. Schell, P. Sennhenn, J. Sprinz, H. Steinhagen, B. Wiese, G. Helmchen, *Tetrahedron* 1996, *52*, 7547-7583; b) D. C. Behenna, B. M. Stoltz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, *126*, 15044-15045.

[2] D. M. X. Donnelly, J.-P. Finet, B. A. Rattigan, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1993, 1729-1735.

[3] J. Tsuji, M. Nisar, I. Shimizu, I. Minami, Synthesis 1984, 12, 1009.

[4] S. Dua, M. S. Taylor, M. A. Buntine, J. H. Bowie, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1997, 1991-1997.

[5] For procedure see supporting information for: D. C. Behenna, B. M. Stoltz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15044-15045.

[6] H. O. House, W. L. Roelofs, B. M. Trost, J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 646-655.

[7] This procedure is a modification of the cyclization method reported by Tsuji.^[3]

[8] This procedure is a modification of the acylation procedure reported in: L. N. Mander, S. P. Sethi, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 5425-5428.

[9] Adapted from a procedure reported in: L. Hintermann, A. Togni, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 4530-4533; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4359-4362.

[10] J. Tsuji, I. Shimizu, I. Minami, Y. Ohashi, T. Sugiura, K. Takahashi, J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1523-1529.