Supporting Information for:

The Catalytic Enantioselective, Protecting Group-Free Total Synthesis of (+)-Dichroanone Ryan M. McFadden and Brian M. Stoltz*

The Arnold and Mabel Beckman Laboratories of Chemical Synthesis, Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA

Materials and Methods. Unless stated otherwise, reactions were conducted in flame-dried glassware under an atmosphere of nitrogen using anhydrous solvents (either freshly distilled or passed through activated alumina columns). Chloroform, stabilized with ethanol was stored in the dark over oven-dried 4Å molecular sieves. Methanol and N,N-dimethyl acetamide were used as purchased. 2,2,6-Trimethylcyclohexanone (9) was used as received or prepared according to the procedure reported herein. All other commercially obtained reagents were used as received, unless specified otherwise. IBX was prepared by the method of Santagostino.¹ (S)-t-Bu-PHOX ligand was prepared according to known methods.² Reaction temperatures were controlled using an IKAmag temperature modulator. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was conducted with E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 pre-coated plates (0.25 mm) and visualized using direct visualization, UV at 254nm or 356nm, *p*-anisaldehyde, ceric ammonium molybdate, potassium permanganate, and iodine vapor over sand. TLC data include R_f, eluent, and method of visualization. ICN silica gel (particle size 0.032-0.063 mm) was used for flash column chromatography. Analytical chiral HPLC analyses were performed with an Agilent 1100 Series HPLC using a chiralcel AD normalphase column (250 x 4.6 mm) employing 0.3-4.0% ethanol in hexane isocratic elution and a flow rate of 0.1 mL/min with visualization at 254nm. Analytical chiral GC analysis was performed with an Agilent 6850 GC using a GT-A column (0.25m x 30.00m) employing an 80 °C isotherm and a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 300 (at 300 MHz) or a Varian Inova 500 (at 500 MHz) and are reported relative to the residual

¹ Frigerio, M.; Santagostino, M.; Sputore, S. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4537-4538.

² a.) Peer, M.; de Jong, J. C.; Kiefer, M.; Langer, T.; Riech, H.; Schell, H.; Sennhenn, P.; Sprinz, J.; Steinhagen, H.; Wiese, B.; Helmchen, G. *Tetrahedron* 1996, *52*, 7547-7583. b.) Behenna, D. C.; Stoltz, B. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, *126*, 15044-15045.

solvent peak (δ 7.26 for CDCl₃ and δ 7.15 for C₆D₆). Data for ¹H NMR spectra are reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm), multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz)³ and integration. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 300 (at 75 MHz), or a Varian Inova 500 (at 125 MHz) and are reported relative the residual solvent peak (δ 77.2 for CDCl₃ and δ 128.4 for C_6D_6). Data for ¹³C NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shift. ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 300 (at 282 MHz) and are reported in terms of chemical shift without the use of a reference peak. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum BXII spectrometer and are reported in frequency of absorption (cm⁻¹). Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco P-1010 polarimeter, using a 100 mm path-length cell. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained from the California Institute of Technology Mass Spectral Facility. UV-Vis spectra were collected on an Agilent 8453 UV-Vis spectroscopy system and are reported as follows: λ_{max} (nm) then log(ϵ) (M⁻¹ • cm⁻¹). Melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Boiling points are measured directly during distillation and are uncorrected. Crystallographic data have been deposited at the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK and copies can be obtained on request, free of charge, by quoting the publication citation and the deposition number 293604.

³ When a subscript is shown with the coupling constant, it indicates what type of splitting the constant is associated with. For example (td, $J_t = 5.0$ Hz, $J_d = 3.3$ Hz, 1H) indicates that the triplet splitting has a 5.0 Hz coupling constant and the doublet has a 3.3 Hz coupling constant.



Ketone Mixture SI2. To a solution of *i*-Pr₂NH (16.6 mL, 119 mmol), (freshly distilled from CaH₂) in THF (400 mL) was added *n*-BuLi (44.4 mL, 2.55 M in hexanes, 113.2 mmol) in a dropwise fashion at 0 °C. After 30 min, a solution of 2,6-dimethylcyclohexanone (SI1) (10.0 g, 79.3 mmol), (fractionally distilled from CaSO₄ under N₂ at ambient pressure), (mixture of *cis* and *trans* isomers) in THF (10 mL) was added. After 1 h, MeI (14.8 mL, 237.9 mmol) was added quickly,⁴ and the reaction was warmed to 23 °C. After 1 h, the reaction was poured into a flask containing saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (100 mL) and H₂O (100 mL). After stirring 10 min, the reaction was diluted with H₂O (75 mL) and pentanes (75 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with pentanes (3 x 100 mL). All organic layers were combined, washed with brine (100 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, concentrated, and distilled under N₂ at ambient pressure, affording ketone mixture SI2 (9.57 g) as a clear, fragrant oil, which used without further characterization.

⁴ Slow addition of MeI over 1 h led to a dark yellow solution that gave decomposition during distillation. The same outcome occurred when the reaction was stirred for more than 2 hours at 23 °C after MeI addition.



Semicarbozone SI3. To a solution of ketone mixture SI2 (9.56 g), in MeOH (160 mL), water (60 mL), and pyridine (24 mL) was added semicarbazide hydrochloride (14.0g, 126.1 mmol). The reaction was refluxed at 105 °C for 30 min, and then the heating was turned off, and the reaction was allowed to cool to 23 °C in the oil bath. Then the reaction was cooled to -20 °C for 36 hours. The white crystals that formed were filtered and washed with water, then dried in vacuo over P₂O₅, giving SI3 (10.8 g, 69% over 2 steps from SI1) as a white, crystalline solid. R_f 0.45 (1:9 MeOH/DCM), (I₂/Sand); mp 203-205 °C (water); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.39 (s, broad, 1H), 6.05 (s, broad, 1H), 5.67 (s, broad, 1H), 2.92-2.79 (m, 1H), 1.84-1.66 (m, 1H), 1.64-1.31 (m, 5H), 1.13 (s, 3H), 1.13 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 1.11-1.08 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 160.7, 158.8, 40.3, 38.0, 31.7, 29.6, 29.2, 28.3, 18.0, 17.1; IR (KBr): 3463, 3186, 2970, 2931, 2868, 2856, 1689, 1577, 1465, 1384, 1110, 1086 cm⁻¹; HRMS-FAB⁺ (*m*/z): [M+H]⁺ calc'd for C₁₀H₂₀N₃O, 198.1606; found, 198.1602.



Ketone 9. To a suspension of semicarbazone **SI3** (10.9 g, 55.0 mmol) in Et₂O (400 mL) and water (20 mL) was added aqueous 6 M HCl (20 mL) in a dropwise fashion. The biphasic mixture was stirred vigorously at 23 °C for 3 h. Then saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (300 mL) was added cautiously at 0 °C. After 30 min, the organic phase was collected. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (2 x 100 mL). All organic layers were combined, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was distilled at ambient pressure under N₂, affording ketone **9** (6.51 g, 82% yield) as a clear, fragrant oil. R_f 0.48 (1:9 EtOAc/hexane), (*p*-Anisaldehyde); bp 178-180 °C (760 mmHg); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.54 (app. septet, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.99-1.88 (m, 1H), 1.77 (tdd, *J*_t = 26.7 Hz, *J*_d = 13.2 Hz, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 1.65 (app. dq, *J*_d = 13.2 Hz, *J*_q = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (app. dddd, *J* = 13.7 Hz, 6.6 Hz, 4.1 Hz, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 1.42 (app. td, *J*_t = 13.2 Hz, *J*_d = 4.1 Hz, 1H), 1.19 (app. ddd, *J* = 26.1 Hz, 13.2 Hz, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 1.06 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.86 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 217.1, 45.1, 41.8, 40.7, 36.7, 25.6, 25.2, 21.5, 14.9; IR (NaCl/CDCl₃): 2967, 2930, 2869, 2853, 1707, 1471, 1455, 1384, 1376, 1127, 1019, 993, 957, 857 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (*m*/*z*): [M]⁺ calc'd for C₉H₁₆O, 140.1201; found, 140.1203.



Enol Carbonate 8. A solution of LiHMDS (57.5 mL, 1.0M in THF, 57.5 mmol) in THF (300 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and a solution of ketone 9 (6.67 g, 47.6 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, then cooled to -78 °C and fitted with an addition funnel, which was charged with a solution of allyl chloroformate (6.56 mL, 61.8 mmol) in THF (200 mL). The solution was added dropwise over 30 min. Then the reaction was warmed to 23 °C. After 13 h, the reaction was poured into a mixture of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (100 mL), water (100 mL), and hexane (100 mL). After 10 min, the organic phase was collected and the aqueous phase extracted with Et₂O (3 x 75 mL). All organic layers were combined, washed with brine (100 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (2:98 Et₂O/hexane eluent), affording enol carbonate 8 (9.19 g, 86% yield) as a clear oil. R_f 0.43 (1:9 EtOAc/hexane), (*p*-Anisaldehyde); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.96 (app. ddt, J_d = 17.1 Hz, 10.7 Hz, J_t = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (app. ddq, J_d = 17.3 Hz, 8.3 Hz, $J_q = 1.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.28 (app. ddq, $J_d = 10.5$ Hz, 4.4 Hz, $J_q = 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.65 (app. ddt, $J_{\rm d}$ = 10.2 Hz, 5.7 Hz, $J_{\rm t}$ = 1.4 Hz, 2H), 2.05 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 1.77-1.52 (m, 4H), 1.50 (s, 3H), 1.04 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 153.5, 148.1, 131.8, 120.9, 119.1, 68.7, 39.4, 35.1, 31.4, 26.9, 19.3, 16.7; IR (NaCl/CDCl₃): 2965, 2934, 2868, 2838, 1759, 1459, 1363, 1271, 1238, 1138, 1025, 993, 937 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (m/z): $[M]^+$ calc'd for C₁₃H₂₀O, 224.1413; found, 224,1408.



Allyl Ketone 7. A 100 mL round bottom flask was flame-dried under argon and charged with Pd₂dba₃ (24.2 mg, 0.0264 mmol) and (S)-t-Bu-PHOX (25.6 mg, 0.0661 mmol). The system was evacuated with vacuum and back-filled with argon (3x). Then THF (31.7 mL) was introduced. The red mixture was stirred for 30 min at 25 °C. Then 8 (237 mg, 1.057 mmol) was added, and the reaction immediately turned vellow-green, and around 25 min became orange. After 30 min, the orange reaction was concentrated and benzene (ca. 2 mL) was added. After concentrating a second time, more benzene (ca. 2 mL) was added. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (2:98 hexane/Et₂O eluent), affording 7 (158 mg, 83% yield) as a clear oil in 91% ee as determined by chiral GC analysis. Rf 0.48 (1:9 EtOAc/hexane), $(I_2/sand)$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.64 (dddd, J = 17.1 Hz, 10.5 Hz, 7.7 Hz, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (app. ddt, $J_d = 6.3$ Hz, 2.2 Hz, $J_t = 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.98 (app. ddt, $J_d = 13.8$ Hz, 2.5 Hz, $J_t = 1.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.26 (AB spin system, app. dddt, $J_{dAB} = 47.6$ Hz, $J_d = 13.8$ Hz, 6.9 Hz, $J_t = 1.4$ Hz, 2H), 1.87-1.47 (m, 6H), 1.15 (s, 3H), 1.09 (s, 3H), 1.08 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 219.8, 134.7, 118.0, 47.7, 44.6, 44.0, 39.9, 37.0, 28.0, 27.3, 25.7, 17.9; IR (NaCl/CDCl₃): 3077, 2979, 2964, 2933, 2869, 1697, 1463, 1382, 999, 914 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (m/z); [M]⁺ calc'd for C₁₂H₂₀O, 180.1514; found, 180.1506; $[\alpha]^{24}_{D}$ -36.34° (*c* 0.140, CHCl₃), 91% ee.



Diketone 10. A 500 mL Parr Flask was charged with PdCl₂ (74.5 mg, 0.420 mmol), Cu(OAc)₂ • H₂O (381 mg, 2.10 mmol), *N*,*N*-dimethyl acetamide (17.5 mL), and water (2.5 mL). Then **7** (1.51 g, 8.39 mmol) was introduced. The system was cooled to -78 °C then evacuated with vacuum and back-filled from a balloon of O₂ (3x). The mixture was warmed to 23 °C and placed on a Parr Shaker for 24 h under a balloon of O₂.⁵ The reaction was then directly loaded onto a silica gel column and purified by flash chromatography (15:85 Et₂O:pentane \rightarrow 25:75 Et₂O:pentane eluent), affording **10** (1.27 g, 77% yield) as a clear oil. R_f 0.44 (1:4 EtOAc:hexane), (KMnO₄); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.79 (AB spin system, app. dd, *J*_{dAB} = 281.1 Hz, *J*_d = 18.4 Hz, 2H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 2.00-1.72 (m, 3H), 1.71-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.47 (app. ddd, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 5.4 Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.16 (s, 3H), 1.11 (s, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 220.7, 206.9, 55.7, 45.0, 44.4, 38.9, 36.9, 30.2, 27.9, 27.8, 27.0, 18.2; IR (NaCl/CDCl₃): 2965, 2943, 2924, 2868, 1715, 1694, 1463, 1394, 1379, 1360, 1147, 1034 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (*m*/z): [M]⁺ calc'd for C₁₂H₂₀O₂, 196.1463; found, 196.1456; [α]²⁷_D +71.96° (*c* 0.200, CHCl₃), 91% ee.

⁵When the reaction was run without the Parr Shaker (i.e. magnetic stirring only) on this scale or larger, the reaction would stall at incomplete conversion.



Bicyclic Enone 6. To a solution of **10** (1.21 g, 6.15 mmol) in xylenes (25 mL) was added freshly powdered KOH (155 mg, 2.76 mmol). The reactor was fitted with a Dean-Stark trap and heated to 110 °C for 11 h.⁶ The reaction was cooled to 23 °C and directly loaded onto a column of silica gel and purified by flash chromatography (pentane \rightarrow 5:95 Et₂O/pentane \rightarrow 50:50 Et₂O/pentane eluent), affording **6** (1.06 g, 96% yield) as a clear, fragrant oil, which was fully characterized after enantioenrichment; $[\alpha]^{25}{}_{\rm D}$ -87.21° (*c* 0.280, CHCl₃), 91% ee.

⁶ Although xylenes do not reflux at this temperature, the xylene-water azeotrope does, and can be removed. Refluxing at greater than 110 °C was avoided as it led to decomposition.



Enantioenriched Semicarbazone SI4. To a suspension of enone 6 (1.70 g, 9.51 mmol)⁷ in MeOH (27.1 mL), water (10.2 mL), and pyridine (4.07 mL) was added semicarbazide hydrochloride (1.91 g, 17.1 mmol). The reaction was refluxed at 105 °C for 2 h. Then the reaction was cooled to -20 °C for 36 h. The crystals that formed were filtered and washed with water, then suspended in absolute EtOH (115 mL). The suspension was heated to 95 °C, at which point it became a solution. Water was added dropwise until cloudiness persisted for 30 seconds even with stirring (76.6 mL). EtOH (200 µL) was added to remove the clouding. Then the heat was turned off, and the reaction was allowed to cool in the oil bath to 23 °C.⁸ After 10 h. the crystals were filtered and suspended in EtOH (100 mL). The suspension was heated to 100 °C and water (72 mL) was added dropwise as before followed by EtOH (200 μ L). The white, flaky crystals were grown in the same way, then collected by filtration, washed with water, and dried over P_2O_5 in vacuo, giving enantioenriched semicarbazone SI4 (1.43 g, 64%) as a single imine geometric isomer (¹H NMR, nOesy) in 97% ee as determined by chiral HPLC analysis. $R_f 0.46$ (1:9 MeOH/DCM), (UV, 254 nm); mp 227-229 °C (water); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.55 (s, broad, 1H), 5.86 (s, 1H), 5.80 (s, broad, 1H), 5.12 (s, broad, 1H), 2.36 (AB spin system, app. dd, $J_{dAB} = 38.2$ Hz, $J_d = 16.8$ Hz, 2H), 1.89 (app. d, J = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 1.78 (app. tt, J = 13.8 Hz, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 1.61-1.48 (m, 2H), 1.37-1.30 (m, 1H), 1.28 (s, 1H), 1.29-1.23 (m, 1H), 1.17 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃)⁹: δ 46.6 (broad), 44.8 (broad), 41.7, 41.0, 36.0 (broad), 31.4, 29.9, 27.7. 26.7. 19.5: IR (KBr): 3469. 3235 (broad). 3191 (broad). 3137 (broad). 2998. 2984. 2958. 2938, 2911, 2863, 1692, 1661, 1572, 1477, 1460, 1420, 1093 cm⁻¹; HRMS-FAB⁺ (*m/z*): [M+H]⁺ calc'd for C₁₃H₂₂N₃O, 236.1761; found, 236.1763; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –103.70° (*c* 0.100, CHCl₃), 97% ee.

⁷ The sample of bicyclic enone used in this recrystallization sequence was a combination of several lots of enone product and had a net ee of 83%.

⁸ Cooling the system to 0 °C gave much less enantioenrichment per recrystallization than if the minimum temperature was kept at 23 °C.

 $^{^{9}}$ CDCl₃ was the only NMR solvent capable of solvating **SI4** at 23 °C (ca. 1 mg in 1 mL), making 13 C NMR spectroscopy challenging.



nOe's detected for SI4



Enantioenriched Enone 6. To a suspension of enantioenriched semicarbazone **SI4** (1.30 g, 5.53 mmol) in THF (120 mL) was added aqueous 6 M HCl (30 mL) in a dropwise fashion. After stirring vigorously for 12 h at 23 °C, the biphasic mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (72 mL) was added cautiously. After stirring for 10 min, the reaction was diluted with water (75 mL) and hexane (75 mL), and the organic phase was collected. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 75 mL). All organic layers were combined, washed with brine (75 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated, giving enantioenriched enone **6** (944 mg, 96% yield) as a clear, fragrant oil. R_{*f*} 0.37 (1:4 EtOAc/hexane), (UV, 254 nm); mp 9-11 °C (Et₂O); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.82 (s, 1H), 2.29 (app. s, 2.29, 2H), 1.93 (app. dq, $J_d = 10.5$ Hz, $J_q = 2.8$ Hz, 1H), 1.83 (app. tt, J = 13.5 Hz, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 1.71-1.54 (m, 2H), 1.40 (app. ddd, J = 12.4 Hz, 8.0 Hz, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 1.36 (app. ddd, J = 8.0 Hz, 3.3 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.20 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 208.1, 194.4, 126.4, 54.7, 44.3, 41.5, 40.6, 36.5, 31.3, 27.4, 26.2, 19.1; IR (NaCl/CDCl₃): 2997, 2987, 2960, 2929, 2868, 2847, 1712, 1696, 1600, 1459, 1261, 1166 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (m/z): [M]⁺ calc'd for C₁₂H₁₈O, 178.1358; found, 178.1356; [α]²⁴_D -102.40° (*c* 0.200, CHCl₃), 97% ee.



Keto-Enone 11. To a solution of LiHMDS (3.27 mL, 0.943M in THF, 3.09 mmol) in THF (29 mL) at 23 °C was added a solution of enantioenriched enone 6 (981 mg, 5.51 mmol) in THF (12 mL) in a dropwise fashion over 3 min. After 1 h, the reaction was cooled to -78 °C and methyl vinyl ketone (257 µL, 3.09 mmol) was added quickly. After 5 min, the reaction was quenched with a 1:1 mixture of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (5 mL) and water (5mL) at -78 °C. Then the reaction was warmed to room temperature and diluted with hexanes (20 mL) and water (20 mL). After collecting the organic phase, the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 15 mL). All organic layers were combined, washed with brine (20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (1:9 Et_2O /pentane $\rightarrow 2:8 Et_2O$ /pentane $\rightarrow 4:6 Et_2O$ /pentane eluent), affording starting material 6 (49.3 mg, 10% yield) as a clear oil, and keto-enone 11 (500 mg, 72% yield) as a clear oil which formed pale vellow crystals from the melt under reduced pressure. The solid was of 98% ee as determined by chiral HPLC analysis. Two separate crystals of racemic 11 were analyzed by Xray diffraction; each proved to be the same diastereomer (relative stereochemistry is depicted in the product above). Rf 0.20 (1:4 EtOAc/hexane), (UV, 254 nm); mp 67-69 °C (Et₂O/pentane), (98% ee), mp 62-64 °C (Et₂O/pentane), (83% ee) mp 42-44 °C (Et₂O/pentane), (0% ee); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), (major diastereomer): δ 5.76 (s, 1H), 2.89 (qd, J_q = 9.1 Hz, J_d = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (ddd, J = 18.2 Hz, 8.8 Hz, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.99 (dd, J = 10.7 Hz, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 1.94-1.78 (m, 2H), 1.72-1.49 (m, 4H), 1.45 (dd, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.33 (app. dd, J = 14.1 Hz, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 1.25 (s, 3H), 1.19 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), (major diastereomer): δ 211.0, 208.9, 193.1, 124.8, 58.1, 47.2, 41.7, 41.4, 36.7, 35.2, 31.2, 30.2, 28.3, 26.4, 21.3, 18.8; IR (KBr): 3009, 2958, 2943, 2897, 2871, 1710, 1691, 1598, 1460, 1420, 1381, 1372, 1357, 1269, 1161 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (m/z); [M]⁺ calc'd for C₁₆H₂₄O₂, 248.1776; found, 248.1774; $[\alpha]^{27}_{D}$ –15.79° (*c* 0.220, CHCl₃), 98% ee.

Crystal Structure of Racemic 11¹⁰



¹⁰ The *depicted* absolute configuration of this molecule is enantiomeric to the actual structure of **11**. Although the data reveals the relative stereochemistry, the absolute configuration of this molecule within the unit cell is unknown.



Tricyclic Enone 12. To a solution of **11** (457 mg, 1.60 mmol) in xylenes (18 mL) was added freshly powdered KOH (207 mg, 3.69 mmol). The reactor was fitted with a Dean-Stark trap and heated to 110 °C for 14 h¹¹ in the dark. The reaction was cooled to 23 °C and directly loaded onto a column of silica gel and purified by flash chromatography (pentane → 2:8 Et₂O:pentane → 6:4 Et₂O:pentane eluent), affording tricyclic enone **12** (336 mg, 80% yield) as a yellow oil. The product was a mixture of two diastereomers as determined by ¹H NMR. R_f 0.28 (1:4 EtOAc/hexane), (UV, 254 nm), (first diastereomer) R_f 0.19 (1:4 EtOAc/hexane), (UV 254 nm), (second diastereomer);¹² ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), (major diastereomer): δ 6.05 (s, 1H), 5.76 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (app. ddd, *J* = 14.3 Hz, 5.5 Hz, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (app. ddd, *J* = 14.9 Hz, 3.6 Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.29 (ddd, *J* = 17.0 Hz, 13.5 Hz, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.98-1.72 (m, 4H), 1.56 (app. d, *J* = 12.4H, 2H), 1.39-1.24 (m, 2H), 1.16 (s, 6H), (1.05 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), (major diastereomer): δ 200.1, 179.3, 171.7, 122.9, 117.2, 55.3, 49.1, 41.2, 40.1, 37.9, 35.7, 31.3, 27.7, 23.9, 22.4, 19.1; IR (NaCl/CDCl₃): 2930, 2868, 2847, 1659, 1652, 1619, 1616, 1585, 1457, 1418, 1385, 1372, 1320, 1273, 1244, 1194, 1181, 970, 887 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (*m/z*): [M]⁺ calc'd for C₁₆H₂₂O, 230.1671; found, 230.1668; [α]²⁵_D-224.40° (*c* 0.550, CHCl₃), 98% ee.

¹¹ Although xylenes do not reflux at this temperature, the xylene-water azeotrope does, and can be removed. Refluxing at greater than 110 °C was avoided as it led to decomposition.

¹² Under all conditions tested, these diastereomers were chromatographically inseparable.



Enol Triflate 13. A solution of *i*-Pr₂NH (186 µL, 1.33 mmol), (freshly distilled from CaH₂) in THF (17 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and *n*-BuLi (482 µL, 2.55 M in hexanes, 1.23 mmol) was added dropwise. After 30 min, the reaction was cooled to -78 °C and a solution of tricvclic enone 12 (236 mg, 1.03 mmol) in THF (3 mL) was added dropwise over 5 min. After 30 min, a solution of N-phenyl triflimide (513 mg, 1.44 mmol) in THF (6 mL) was added. 30 min later, the reaction was wrapped in foil and warmed to 23 °C. After 5 h, the reaction was diluted with Et₃N (5 mL) and concentrated to ca. 5 mL total volume. Then hexane (10 mL) and more Et₃N (2 mL) were added, and the reaction was concentrated to ca. 5 mL a second time. The reaction was filtered through a 5-inch plug of silica that had been pre-eluted with Et₃N/Et₂O/hexane (5:20:75)¹³ then eluted with the same solvent mixture. The eluate was concentrated, giving crude, unstable enol triflate 13 (yield not determined) as an orange gel, which was immediately used in the next reaction. ¹H NMR revealed the product to be an inseparable mixture of diastereomers. R_f 0.80 (2:8:1 EtOAc/hexane/Et₃N, TLC plate is pre-eluted),¹⁴ (Ceric Ammonium Molybdate), (both diastereomers); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 5.67 (s, 1H), 5.59 (app. dd, J = 2.5 Hz, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (app. dtd, $J_{d1} = 6.6$ Hz, $J_t = 2.2$ Hz, $J_{d2} = 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 1.94 (ddd, J = 50.6 Hz, 19.8 Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.96 (ddd, J = 29.2 Hz, 20.0 Hz, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.65 (app. dt, $J_d = 14.8$ Hz, $J_t =$ 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.62-1.44 (m, 2H), 1.34-1.18 (m, 2H), 1.12 (app. dd, J = 12.7 Hz, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 0.98(s, 6H), 0.97-0.81 (m, 1H), 0.78 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 172.7, 153.0, 149.1, 132.3, 131.4, 130.3, 122.5, 111.2, 107.7, 50.1, 48.7, 41.0, 40.2, 35.6, 31.8, 27.7, 23.1, 20.9, 19.6; ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, C₆D₆): δ -71.6¹⁵, -74.4 (major diastereomer), -74.5 (minor diastereomer); IR (NaCl/hexane): 2961, 2931, 2868, 2848, 1649, 1579, 1445, 1420, 1246, 1209, 1144, 1096, 1059, 907, 880, 862 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (m/z); [M]⁺ calc'd for C₁₇H₂₁F₃O₃S, 362.1164; found, 362.1166; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –11.24° (*c* 0.700, hexane), 98% ee.

¹³ If the crude enol triflate is exposed to silica that has not been basified, it decomposes to the starting material.

¹⁴ If the plate is not pre-soaked in eluent, the product will be cleaved to starting material during plate development, giving the false appearance of an incomplete reaction.

¹⁵ This peak may arise from the fluorines of *N*-phenyl triflimide, which is also visible in the ¹H NMR.



Arene 5. To a solution of crude 13 (ca. 371 mg, 1.03 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added isopropenyl magnesium bromide (4.12 mL, 0.5 M in THF, 2.06 mmol), and the flask was immediately covered in foil. Then a solution of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (29.5 mg, 0.0515 mmol, weighed in glovebox) in THF (5 mL) was promptly added at 23 °C. After 1 h, aqueous 6 M HCl (5.5 mL) was added in a dropwise manner. After 16 h at 23 °C, the reaction was diluted with water (40 mL) and hexane (40 mL), and the organic phase was collected. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). All organic layers were combined, washed with brine (30 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and adsorbed onto silica gel. The adsorbed product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane eluent), affording arene 5 (170 mg, 65% yield from bicyclic enone 12) as a clear oil. R_f 0.43 (hexane), (UV 254 nm); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.21 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (dd, J = 7.7 Hz, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 2.96 (septet, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (ddd, J = 12.7 Hz, 4.7 Hz, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.00 (app. qt, $J_q =$ 13.8 Hz, J_t = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.74-1.60 (m, 2H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.32 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 1.15 (td, $J_t = 12.9$ Hz, $J_d = 3.7$ Hz, 1H), 1.05 (td, $J_t = 13.2$ Hz, $J_d = 3.7$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): & 164.4, 152.9, 147.2, 142.4, 122.4, 121.0, 120.8, 118.6, 50.8, 42.8, 38.3, 35.7, 34.3, 31.5, 25.5, 24.54, 24.51, 23.7, 20.0; IR (NaCl/CDCl₃): 3061, 2995, 2959, 2928, 2866, 2845, 1616, 1479, 1458, 1382, 1369, 1362, 886, 820 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (m/z): [M]⁺ calc'd for C₁₉H₂₆, 254.2035; found, 254.2046; $[\alpha]^{24}$ _D -80.74° (*c* 0.320, CHCl₃), 98% ee.



Aldehvde 14. A solution of 5 (156 mg, 0.614 mmol) in DCM (15 mL) was cooled to -78 °C and α, α -dichloromethyl, methyl ether (89.0 µL, 0.982 mmol) was introduced, followed by TiCl₄ (81.0 µL, 0.736 mmol). After 1 h, the deep red mixture was warmed to 23 °C, and 1 h later the reaction was poured onto a slurry of crushed ice (40 mL) and DCM (10 mL). After stirring vigorously for 1 h, the organic phase was collected. The aqueous phase was extracted with DCM (3 x 20 mL). All organic layers were combined, washed with water (20 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (30 mL), and brine (30 mL), then dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and adsorbed onto silica gel. The adsorbed products were separated by flash chromatography on silica gel (1:99 Et₂O/hexane \rightarrow 2:98 Et₂O/hexane \rightarrow 5:95 Et₂O/hexane eluent), affording desired aldehyde 14 (137 mg, 79%) yield) as a clear oil. The structure was elucidated by ¹H NMR nOesy experiments. $R_f 0.40$ (1:9 EtOAc/hexane), (UV 254 nm); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 10.39 (s, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.33 (s, 1H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 3.96 (septet, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (app. d, J = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 1.96 (app. qt, $J_{\rm q} = 13.2$ Hz, $J_{\rm t} = 3.3$ Hz, 1H), 1.56-1.72 (m, 2H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.33 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.32 (J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.25 (s, 3H), 1.10 (td, $J_t = 13.2$ Hz, $J_d = 3.9$ Hz, 1H), 0.99 (td, $J_t =$ 13.5 Hz, $J_d = 3.9$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 191.9, 170.2, 152.6, 151.4, 148.5, 129.2, 122.6, 121.1, 117.9, 51.3, 42.6, 37.9, 36.1, 31.3, 27.7, 25.1, 24.4, 24.3, 23.2, 19.7; IR (NaCl/CDCl₃): 3066, 2995, 2962, 2930, 2867, 2847, 2801, 2753, 2717, 2252, 1690, 1674, 1613, 1552, 1472, 1459, 1420, 1383, 1370, 1267, 1180, 1163, 906, 891 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (m/z); $[M]^+$ calc'd for C₂₀H₂₆O, 282.1984; found, 282.1991; $[\alpha]^{24}_{D}$ –109.22° (*c* 1.205, CHCl₃), 98% ee. Undesired aldehyde SI5 (13.3 mg, 8% yield) was also isolated as a clear oil. The structure was elucidated by ¹H NMR nOesy experiments. R_f0.48 (1:9 EtOAc/hexane), (UV 356 nm); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 10.71 (s, 1H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (septet, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (app. dd, J = 12.9 Hz, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (app. qt, $J_0 =$ 14.0 Hz, $J_1 = 3.9$ Hz, 1H), 1.74-1.58 (m, 2H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.33 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.32 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.11 (td, $J_t = 12.9$ Hz, $J_d = 3.9$ Hz, 1H), 0.96 (td, $J_t = 13.2$

Hz, J_d = 3.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 192.8, 169.3, 154.3, 149.7, 144.3, 125.9, 125.7, 121.6, 120.1, 50.0, 42.7, 37.9, 36.1, 31.4, 28.2, 25.2, 24.60, 24.55, 23.1, 19.8; IR (NaCl/CDCl₃): 2994, 2962, 2930, 2867, 2846, 2777, 2754, 1682, 1591, 1571, 1456, 1417, 1254, 1183, 1176, 1109, 882, 828 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (*m/z*): [M]⁺ calc'd for C₂₀H₂₆O, 282.1984; found, 282.1990; [α]²⁶_D+1.15° (*c* 0.665, CHCl₃), 98% ee.





nOe's detected for 14

nOe's detected for SI5



Phenol 15. To a solution of 14 (135 mg, 0.478 mmol) in THF (5.4 mL) and MeOH (13.5 mL) at 23 °C was added 30% aqueous H₂O₂ (2.70 mL, 23.8 mmol) immediately followed by concentrated aqueous H₂SO₄ (245 µL). After 1 h, the reaction was cautiously added to an icecold mixture of NaHSO₃ (1.62 g, 15.6 mmol), water (54 mL), and Et₂O (20 mL). After 5 min, the reaction was diluted with water (10 mL) and hexane (20 mL), and the organic phase was collected. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 40 mL). All organic layers were combined, washed with brine (20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and adsorbed on silica gel. The adsorbed product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (2:98 Et₂O/hexane \rightarrow 10:90 Et₂O/hexane eluent), affording phenol 15 (95.0 mg, 74% yield) as a white, unstable powder. R_f 0.56 (1:4 EtOAc/hexane), (UV 254 nm); mp 105-106 °C dec (Et₂O/hexane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃):¹⁶ δ 7.11 (s, 1H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 6.29 (s, 1H), 4.57 (s, 1H),¹⁷ 3.19 (app. quintet, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (app. d, J = 12.4, 1H), 1.94 (app. qt, $J_q = 13.5$ Hz, $J_t = 3.3$ Hz, 1H), 1.62 (app. s, 1H), 1.58 (app. s, 1H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.27 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.22 (s, 3H), 1.10 (td, $J_t = 13.2$ Hz, $J_d = 3.6$ Hz, 1H), 1.01 (td, $J_t = 13.2$ Hz, $J_d = 3.6$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 162.0, 154.3, 150.0, 135.5, 132.4, 120.5, 117.9, 109.4, 50.9, 42.8, 38.2, 35.5, 31.4, 27.2, 25.6, 23.8, 23.1, 20.0; IR (KBr): 3393 (broad), 3057, 2988, 2965, 2934, 2909, 2868, 2837, 1459, 1431, 1382, 1362, 1286, 1166, 1076, 1004, 894, 856 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (m/z): $[M]^+$ calc'd for C₁₉H₂₆O, 270.1984; found, 270.1993; $[\alpha]^{24}_{D}$ -73.23° (*c* 0.080, CHCl₃), 98% ee.

¹⁶ The CDCl₃ was degassed with argon for 10 min before solvating the purified product. This reduced decomposition during spectral acquisition.

¹⁷ When a drop of D_2O was added to the NMR sample, the singlet at δ 4.57 vanished.



Racemic Ortho-Quinone 16. To a rapidly stirred solution of racemic phenol 15 (25 mg, 0.0925 mmol) in CHCl₃ (5 mL) wrapped in foil was added IBX (30.5 mg, 0.102 mmol) in nine portions over a 9 h period at 23 °C. After 11 h, the reaction was filtered through glass frits with the aid of CHCl₃. 80% (by volume) of the solution was carried onward; the remaining 20% was saved. The 80% of maroon filtrate to be processed was diluted with hexane (15 mL), then concentrated to approx. 4 mL total volume. More hexane was added (20 mL), and the solution was concentrated again to approx. 4 mL. This process was repeated two more times; then the solution was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (1:9 Et₂O/hexane eluent), affording unstable *o*-quinone **16** (4.3 mg, 20% yield based on 80% of starting material)¹⁸ as a purple powder. The compound was suitable for partial characterization: $R_f 0.55$ (1:4 EtOAc/hexane), (Visible, purple); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.86 (s, 1H), 6.15 (s, 1H), 2.98 (app. quintet, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (app. dd, J = 12.4 Hz, 1.7 Hz, IH), 1.95-2.20 (m, 1H), 1.89 (app. qt, J_q = 13.2 Hz, $J_t = 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.72 (app. d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 1.11-1.21 (m, 1H), 1.12 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.00-1.08 (m, 1H); IR (NaCl/CH₂Cl₂): 3418 (broad), 3035, 2961, 2932, 2869, 1959, 1682, 1631, 1580, 1517, 1464, 1433, 1403, 1369, 1287, 1230, 1172, 1009 cm⁻¹; HRMS-FAB⁺ (m/z): [M+H]⁺ calc'd for C₁₉H₂₅O, 285.1855; found, 285.1851. The following experiment was employed for determining actual yield: To a rapidly stirred solution 15 (25.4 mg, 0.0940 mmol) in CHCl₃ (5 mL) in the dark was added IBX (30.2 mg, 0.1018 mmol) in one portion. After 15 h, the reaction was filtered through glass frits with the aid of CHCl₃. The filtrate was partially concentrated and CDCl₃ was added; this was repeated iteratively until there was less than 2% CHCl₃ by volume and approx. 3 mL total volume of solution. The solution was transferred to a 5.00 mL volumetric flask, and EtOAc (51.0 µL, 0.522 mmol, internal standard) was added. The flask was diluted to 5 mL using CDCl₃, giving a solution of unknown that was 0.0188 M in 16 (assuming a 100% yield) and

¹⁸ When the crude *o*-quinone is flashed, a substantial amount decomposes on the silica gel, making accurate yield determination by isolation difficult.

0.104 M in EtOAc, with a theoretical molar ratio, $X_{\rm T}$, of 1:5.55 (maximum theoretical analyte: internal standard). In a separate 2.00 mL volumetric flask, analytically pure racemic *o*-quinone **16** (4.3 mg, 0.0151 mmol) from earlier in this procedure was dissolved in 2.0 mL of a stock solution of EtOAc (20.5 µL, 0.209 mmol, internal standard) and CDCl₃ (5.00 mL), giving a solution with a molar ratio $X_{\rm S}$ of 1:5.55 (analyte/internal standard). This analyte solution was serially diluted with the stock internal standard solution, giving four more solutions with molar ratios of 0.750 $X_{\rm S}$, 0.563 $X_{\rm S}$, 0.422 $X_{\rm S}$, and 0.211 $X_{\rm S}$. These four solutions, along with the original 1.000 $X_{\rm S}$ solution, were analyzed by ¹H NMR, and the peak integration ratios δ 6.86 (analyte): δ 4.08 (internal standard) were determined. A calibration curve of molar ratio vs. integration ratio was prepared. The unknown was also analyzed by ¹H NMR to obtain its integration ratio. The value of $X_{\rm T}$ (molar ratio) for the unknown was extrapolated from the equation of the leastsquares best-fit line for the calibration curve, and was found to be 0.363 $X_{\rm S}$. This corresponds to a 36% yield of racemic *o*-quinone **16**.

AnalQInt6.8	6 AnalEInt4.08	AnalQ/E	Yield (X_S)
5.37	67.95	0.0790	1.00
4.46	73.20	0.0609	0.750
3.62	78.07	0.0464	0.563
2.99	79.35	0.0377	0.422
1.72	85.11	0.0202	0.211
UnkQInt6.8	6 UnkEInt4.08	UnknQ/E	Yield (X_T)
2.50	78.00	0.0321	0.363

Calibration Curve and Extrapolation Data for o-Quinone 16 Yield Assay

Calibration Curve for o-Quinone 16 Yield Assay



Calibration Curve: Molar Ratio vs. Integration Ratio



(R)-(+)-Dichroanone (1). Phenol 15 (79.8 mg, 0.295 mmol) was dissolved in CHCl₃ (16 mL) that had been degassed with argon for 10 min, then shaken over oven-dry MS4Å. IBX (99.0 mg, 0.354 mmol) was added under argon with vigorous stirring in the dark at 23 °C. At 19 h, the reaction was filtered through glass frits with the aid of CHCl₃. The filtrate, which contained enantioenriched o-quinone 16 (36% yield by ¹H NMR), was immediately used without further purification. To this solution was added pentafluorothiophenol (157 µL, 1.18 mmol) at 23 °C in the dark. After 2 h, the maroon reaction had become vellow-orange, and TLC revealed complete consumption of the o-quinone 16. At this time, a solution of powdered NaOH (118 mg, 2.95 mmol) in MeOH (16 mL) was introduced. An O₂ balloon was attached and the reaction became deep red over the next 2 h. Then the mixture was refluxed under a balloon of O₂ at 75 °C in the dark for another 3 h. After cooling to 23 °C, the O₂ balloon was removed and substituted for an N₂ atmosphere. Aqueous 6 M HCl (1.60 mL) was added dropwise and stirring was continued at 23 °C as the reaction became bright orange-red, and a white precipitate formed. After 30 min, the reaction was diluted with water (20 mL) then hexane (20 mL), and the organic phase was collected. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 20 mL). All organic layers were combined, washed with brine (20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated to approx. 2 mL total volume. This suspension was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (2:98 Et₂O/hexane eluent), affording semipure (+)-dichroanone (1) as an oily, unpleasant-smelling, red solid.¹⁹ The residue was dissolved in hexane and adsorbed onto silica gel. The material was purified by flash chromatography on a second column of silica gel (2:98 Et₂O/hexane eluent), affording (R)-(+)-dichroanone (1) (31.0 mg, 35% yield from phenol 15, 99% yield from oqunione 16) as an odorless, amorphous red solid. The product had a 99.9% ee as determined by chiral HPLC. The compound had the same spectroscopic and physical properties as the natural sample, and bore the opposite sense of optical rotation, establishing the absolute stereochemistry

¹⁹ The major residual impurities present after the first flash column were bis-(pentafluorophenyl) disulfide, pentafluorothiophenol, and 2-iodobenzoic acid.

of natural dichroanone to be (*S*). R_f 0.61 (1:4 EtOAc/hexane), (Visible orange-red); mp 119-120 °C (benzene); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.31 (s, 1H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 3.21 (septet, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (app. ddd, J = 13.2 Hz, 5.0 Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.92 (app. qt, $J_q = 13.8$ Hz, $J_t = 3.3$ Hz, 1H), 1.70 (app. dq, $J_d = 13.2$ Hz, $J_q = 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.62 (app. dddd, J = 14.2 Hz, 6.6 Hz, 3.9 Hz, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.24 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.23 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.11 (app. dt, $J_d = 13.2$ Hz, $J_t = 4.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.07 (app. dt, $J_d = 13.2$ Hz, $J_t = 4.4$ Hz, 1H); 1.3° C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 185.9, 178.4, 177.2, 152.6, 149.0, 147.9, 122.9, 118.1, 55.5, 43.5, 37.5, 37.1, 31.1, 24.9, 24.1, 20.3, 20.2, 19.2; IR (KBr): 3326, 2959, 2925, 2868, 1628, 1519, 1459, 1367, 1357, 1287, 1170, 1127, 1107, 992, 966 cm⁻¹ (NaCl/CHCl₃): 3350, 2960, 2932, 2873, 1637, 1527, 1470, 1368, 1358, 1317, 1242, 1104, 990, 966 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI⁺ (m/z): [M]⁺ calc'd for C₁₉H₂₄O₃, 300.17255; found, 300.17265; UV-Vis λ_{max} nm (log ε): 253(4.0), 332 (3.9); [α]²⁷_D +99.60° (c 0.0055, dioxane), 99.9% ee.

	¹ H NMR of Dichroanone, CDCl ₃ ²⁰					
Synthetic (+), 300 MHz		Natural (-), 300 MHz ²¹				
Shift (ppm)	Multiplicity/Coupling (Hz)	Shift (ppm)	Multiplicity/Coupling (Hz)			
7.31	S	7.31	S			
6.44	S	6.45	S			
3.21	septet, 7.2	3.22	septet, 7.0			
2.37	ddd, 13.2, 5.0, 2.8	2.38	br. dd, ca. 13, ca. 2			
1.92	qt, q= 13.8, t = 3.3	1.93	m			
1.7	dq, d = 13.2, q = 2.5	1.71	ddd, 7.5, 2.5, 2.5			
1.62	dddd, 14.2, 6.6, 3.9, 2.7	ca. 1.6	m			
1.45	S	1.46	S			
1.28	S	1.29	S			
1.24	d, 7.2	1.25	d, 7.0			
1.23	d, 7.2	1.24	d, 7.0			
1.23	S	1.24	S			
1.11	dt, $d = 13.2$, $t = 4.4$	ca. 1.1	m			
1.07	dt, $d = 13.2$, $t = 4.4$	ca. 1.1	m			

Comparison of Natural (S)-(-)-Dichroanone (1) and Synthetic (R)-(+)-Dichroanone (1)

²⁰ Note that the chemical shifts of the synthetic (+)-Dichroanone (1) are uniformly 0.01 ppm upfield relative to the shifts for natural (–)-Dichroanone (1). This could be due to the reference value used by the isolation chemists. The chemical shift reference for the synthetic material was δ 7.26 ppm in accord with Cambridge Isotopes Laboratory, Inc.

²¹ ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, UV-Vis, and optical rotation data have been reproduced from the isolation paper. See: Kawazoe, K.; Yamamoto, M.; Takaishi, Y.; Honda, G.; Fujita, T.; Sezik, E.; Yesilada, E. *Phytochemistry* **1999**, *50*, 493-497.

¹³ C NMR of Dichroanone (1), CDCl ₃		IR of Dichroa	none (1), KBr
Synthetic (+), 75 MHz	Natural (–), 75 MHz	Synthetic (+)	Natural (–)
Shift (ppm)	Shift (ppm)	Wavenumber (cm ⁻¹)	Wavenumber (cm ⁻¹)
185.9	185.8	3326	3324
178.4	178.3	2959	2958
177.2	177.3	2925	-
152.6	152.6	2868	-
149.0	149.0	1628	1627
147.9	148.0	1519	1520
122.9	123.0	1459	1457
118.1	118.1	1367	-
55.5	55.5	1357	-
43.5	43.6	1287	1288
37.5	37.5	1170	-
37.1	37.1	1127	-
31.1	31.0	1107	-
24.9	24.9	992	-
24.1	24.1	966	-
20.3	20.3		
20.2	20.2		
-	20.2		
19.2	19.2		

Comparison of Natural (S)-(-)-Dichroanone (1) and Synthetic (R)-(+)-Dichroanone (1)

UV-Vis Spectrum of Dichroanone (1)		Specific Optical Rotat	Specific Optical Rotation of Dichroanone (1)		
Synthetic (+)	Natural (–)	Synthetic (+)	Synthetic (+) Natural (-)		
λ_{max} (nm), (log ε)	λ_{max} (nm), (log ϵ)	$[\alpha]^{27}_{D}$, (c 0.0055)	$[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$, (c 0.67) ²²		
253 (4.0)	253 (4.0)	(in dioxane, 99.9%ee)	(in dioxane, 100%ee)		
332 (3.9)	332 (4.0)	+99.60°	-99.3°		

²² An attempt was made to measure the specific optical rotation of synthetic (–) dichroanone (1) at c = 0.67 as reported by the isolation chemists; however, due to the cell path length used (100 mm) on the polarimeter, no sodium-D (589 nm) light was transmitted through the orange-red solution, making an accurate measurement difficult. To circumvent this issue, a lower concentration was employed.

Determination of Absorption Maxima and Extinction Coefficients for (*R*)-(+)-Dichroanone (1). A sample of (+)-Dichroanone (1) (11.0 mg, 0.0366 mmol) was dissolved in dioxane (2.00 mL) in a volumetric flask, giving a 0.183 M solution. This solution was serially diluted to the following concentrations (10⁻⁵ M): 7.329, 4.217, and 1.925. UV-Vis spectra of the three diluted samples were obtained using a 1-cm path length quartz cuvette, and absorbances at 253 nm and 332 nm were measured. A least-squares line of absorbance vs. concentration (constrained to fit the origin) was calculated for both 253 nm and 332 nm absorbance sets. The slope of the least-squares-fit line gave the molar extinction coefficients: λ_{max} nm (log ε): 253(4.0), 332 (3.9).

Concentration (10 ⁻⁵ M)	Abs at 253 nm	Abs at 332 nm
7.329	0.7783	0.5974
3.665	0.4217	0.3369
1.832	0.1925	0.1542

Data Points for UV-Vis Spectra of (R)-(+)-Dichroanone (1)

UV-Vis Data for (*R*)-(+)-Dichroanone (1)



Absorbance vs. Concentration at 253 nm of (+)-Dichroanone

 $\epsilon_{253} = 10780 \text{ L} / (\text{mol} \cdot \text{cm}); \log (\epsilon_{253}) = 4.0^{23}$

²³ For this graph, the equation of best fit is equivalent to Beer's Law, A = ϵlc , where l is a constant (1 cm, the path length of the sample in the quartz cuvette), and ϵ is the slope of the least squares line, constrained to run through the origin. Thus, ϵ is in units of $[cm^{-1} \cdot (10^{-5} \text{ M})^{-1}]$ or more simply, $\epsilon = 100000 \cdot (slope) \cdot L / (mol \cdot cm)$. Hence, $\epsilon_{253} = 10780 L / (mol \cdot cm)$, and $log(\epsilon_{253}) = 4.0$.

UV-Vis Data for (R)-(+)-Dichroanone SI19



Absorbance vs. Concentration at 332

 $\epsilon_{332} = 8360 \text{ L} / (\text{mol} \cdot \text{cm}); \log (\epsilon_{332}) = 3.9^{24}$

²⁴ For this graph, the equation of best fit is equivalent to Beer's Law, A = ϵ lc, where l is a constant (1 cm, the path length of the sample in the quartz cuvette), and ϵ is the slope of the least squares line, constrained to run through the origin. Thus, ϵ is in units of [cm⁻¹ • (10⁻⁵ M)⁻¹] or more simply, $\epsilon = 100000 • (slope) • L / (mol • cm)$. Hence, $\epsilon_{332} = 8360 L / (mol • cm)$, and $log(\epsilon_{332}) = 3.9$.

Entry	Substrate	Assay	Column	Method	Retention T	ïme (min)
1.		Enantiomeric	Chiral GC	80 °C isotherm	Major (<i>S</i>)	29.1
(5)-9	Excess	Agilent GT-A Column	40 min	Minor (<i>R</i>)	30.5	
2.		Enantiomeric	Chiral HPLC	3%EtOH/Hex monitor@254nm	Minor (<i>R</i>)	9.1
	(5)-6	Excess	Chiralcel AD Column	20 min	Major (<i>S</i>)	10.2
3.		Enantiomeric	Chiral HPLC	10%EtOH/Hex monitor@254nm	Minor (<i>R</i>)	9.3
	(<i>S</i>)-SI4	Excess	Chiralcel AD Column	20 min	Major (<i>S</i>)	12.1
	10.7 : 1.0 dr	Enantiomeric	Chiral HPLC	4%EtOH/Hex monitor@254nm	Minor (4a <i>S</i> , 5a <i>R</i>)	17.6
4.	Major Diasteromer: (4a <i>S,</i> 5a <i>R</i>)-11	Enantiomeric - Excess	Chiralcel AD Column	40 min	Major (4a<i>R</i>, 5a<i>S</i>)	28.5
F	O OH	Enantiomeric	Chiral HPLC	0.3%EtOH/Hex monitor@254nm	Minor (<i>S</i>)	18.3
5.	(<i>R</i>)-(+)-Dichroanone-(1)	Excess	Chiralcel AD Column	30 min	Major (R)	21.1

Methods for the Determination of Enantiomeric Excess





Empirical formula $C_{16}H_{24}O_2$ Formula weight 248.35 Crystallization Solvent From melt Crystal Habit Plate 0.36 x 0.27 x 0.03 mm³ Crystal size Colorless Crystal color **Data Collection** Bruker SMART 1000 Type of diffractometer 0.71073 Å MoKα Wavelength 100(2) K Data Collection Temperature θ range for 3103 reflections used in lattice determination 2.82 to 27.84° Unit cell dimensions a = 7.2276(13) Å b = 9.3724(17) Å $\beta = 92.231(3)^{\circ}$ c = 10.3744(18) Å702.2(2) Å³ Volume Ζ 2 Crystal system Monoclinic Space group $P2_1$ Density (calculated) 1.175 Mg/m³ 272 F(000) Data collection program Bruker SMART v5.630 θ range for data collection 1.96 to 27.87° Completeness to $\theta = 27.87^{\circ}$ 93.6 % $-9 \le h \le 9, -11 \le k \le 12, -13 \le 1 \le 13$ Index ranges Data collection scan type ω scans at 3 ϕ settings Bruker SAINT v6.45A Data reduction program Reflections collected 6194 Independent reflections 2968 [R_{int}= 0.0422] Absorption coefficient 0.075 mm⁻¹ Absorption correction None 0.9977 and 0.9734 Max. and min. transmission

Crystal Structure Data for Compound 11. Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for RMM01 (CCDC 293604).

Table 1 (cont.)

Structure solution and Refinement

Structure solution program	Bruker XS v6.12
Primary solution method	Direct methods
Secondary solution method	Difference Fourier map
Hydrogen placement	Difference Fourier map
Structure refinement program	Bruker XL v6.12
Refinement method	Full matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	2968 / 1 / 259
Treatment of hydrogen atoms	Unrestrained
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.379
Final R indices [I>2 σ (I), 2464 reflections]	R1 = 0.0417, wR2 = 0.0708
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0528, wR2 = 0.0729
Type of weighting scheme used	Sigma
Weighting scheme used	$w=1/\sigma^2(\text{Fo}^2)$
Max shift/error	0.000
Average shift/error	0.000
Absolute structure determination	Not able to determine
Absolute structure parameter	-0.5(12)
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.279 and -0.197 e.Å ⁻³

Special Refinement Details

Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor (wR) and goodness of fit (S) are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors (R) are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma$ (F^2) is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.







	х	У	Z	U _{eq}
O(1)	-2410(2)	8958(1)	3790(1)	26(1)
O(2)	3287(2)	11505(2)	1446(1)	43(1)
C(1)	-1126(2)	8961(2)	4599(2)	22(1)
C(2)	-1246(2)	9098(2)	5978(2)	24(1)
C(3)	438(2)	9136(2)	6569(2)	21(1)
C(4)	845(2)	9489(2)	7970(2)	24(1)
C(5)	2195(3)	10768(2)	7999(2)	25(1)
C(6)	3847(3)	10570(2)	7160(2)	30(1)
C(7)	3246(3)	10291(2)	5743(2)	25(1)
C(8)	1987(2)	8962(2)	5616(2)	19(1)
C(9)	904(2)	8804(2)	4288(2)	22(1)
C(10)	1666(3)	8214(2)	8718(2)	33(1)
C(11)	-912(3)	9929(3)	8641(2)	37(1)
C(12)	3162(3)	7620(2)	5848(2)	28(1)
C(13)	1425(3)	9737(2)	3163(2)	25(1)
C(14)	3226(3)	9280(2)	2556(2)	26(1)
C(15)	3946(3)	10329(2)	1605(2)	27(1)
C(16)	5601(3)	9861(3)	880(2)	32(1)

Table 2. Atomic coordinates (x 10⁴) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²x 10³) for RMM01 (CCDC 293604). U(eq) is defined as the trace of the orthogonalized U^{ij} tensor.

O(1)-C(1)	1.2264(18)	C(2)-C(3)-C(8)	112.01(14)
O(2)-C(15)	1.209(2)	C(4)-C(3)-C(8)	121.71(14)
C(1)-C(2)	1.442(2)	C(3)-C(4)-C(11)	111.34(16)
C(1)-C(9)	1.522(2)	C(3)-C(4)-C(10)	111.95(16)
C(2)-C(3)	1.342(2)	C(11)-C(4)-C(10)	106.99(18)
C(2)-H(2)	0.934(16)	C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	106.74(15)
C(3)-C(4)	1.508(2)	C(11)-C(4)-C(5)	108.48(17)
C(3)-C(8)	1.531(2)	C(10)-C(4)-C(5)	111.33(16)
C(4)-C(11)	1.528(3)	C(6)-C(5)-C(4)	113.88(17)
C(4)-C(10)	1.532(3)	C(6)-C(5)-H(5B)	111.9(11)
C(4)-C(5)	1.546(3)	C(4)-C(5)-H(5B)	108.7(11)
C(5)-C(6)	1.516(3)	C(6)-C(5)-H(5A)	112.0(10)
C(5)-H(5B)	1.02(2)	C(4)-C(5)-H(5A)	109.7(10)
C(5)-H(5A)	0.975(18)	H(5B)-C(5)-H(5A)	99.7(14)
C(6)-C(7)	1.539(3)	C(5)-C(6)-C(7)	111.68(17)
C(6)-H(6B)	1.01(2)	C(5)-C(6)-H(6B)	114.1(11)
C(6)-H(6A)	0.98(2)	C(7)-C(6)-H(6B)	107.2(11)
C(7)-C(8)	1.545(3)	C(5)-C(6)-H(6A)	107.9(11)
C(7)-H(7A)	0.974(19)	C(7)-C(6)-H(6A)	106.5(12)
C(7)-H(7B)	0.939(19)	H(6B)-C(6)-H(6A)	109.3(16)
C(8)-C(12)	1.532(3)	C(6)-C(7)-C(8)	111.21(16)
C(8)-C(9)	1.565(2)	C(6)-C(7)-H(7A)	113.1(11)
C(9)-C(13)	1.518(3)	C(8)-C(7)-H(7A)	108.5(11)
C(9)-H(9)	1.02(2)	C(6)-C(7)-H(7B)	109.4(11)
C(10)-H(10A)	1.03(2)	C(8)-C(7)-H(7B)	110.4(11)
C(10)-H(10B)	0.96(2)	H(7A)-C(7)-H(7B)	104.0(15)
C(10)-H(10C)	1.05(2)	C(3)-C(8)-C(12)	113.62(16)
C(11)-H(11A)	0.96(2)	C(3)-C(8)-C(7)	107.62(16)
C(11)-H(11C)	1.03(2)	C(12)-C(8)-C(7)	109.11(14)
C(11)-H(11B)	1.02(2)	C(3)-C(8)-C(9)	103.04(12)
C(12)-H(12C)	1.00(2)	C(12)-C(8)-C(9)	108.39(16)
C(12)-H(12B)	0.97(2)	C(7)-C(8)-C(9)	115.09(15)
C(12)-H(12A)	1.009(19)	C(13)-C(9)-C(1)	112.16(15)
C(13)-C(14)	1.529(3)	C(13)-C(9)-C(8)	119.48(16)
C(13)-H(13A)	1.04(2)	C(1)-C(9)-C(8)	104.92(14)
C(13)-H(13B)	1.006(19)	C(13)-C(9)-H(9)	103.6(11)
C(14)-C(15)	1.500(3)	C(1)-C(9)-H(9)	105.4(10)
C(14)-H(14B)	0.975(18)	C(8)-C(9)-H(9)	110.6(11)
C(14)-H(14A)	0.967(17)	C(4)-C(10)-H(10A)	114.3(12)
C(15)-C(16)	1.503(3)	C(4)-C(10)-H(10B)	108.7(14)
C(16)-H(16B)	0.90(3)	H(10A)-C(10)-H(10B)	112.0(18)
C(16)-H(16C)	0.96(2)	C(4)-C(10)-H(10C)	107.4(12)
C(16)-H(16A)	0.97(2)	H(10A)-C(10)-H(10C)	104.8(18)
		H(10B)-C(10)-H(10C)	109.3(19)
O(1)-C(1)-C(2)	127.29(15)	C(4)-C(11)-H(11A)	113.8(14)
O(1)-C(1)-C(9)	124.39(15)	C(4)-C(11)-H(11C)	106.3(11)
C(2)-C(1)-C(9)	108.32(14)	H(11A)-C(11)-H(11C)	108.7(18)
C(3)-C(2)-C(1)	111.57(15)	C(4)-C(11)-H(11B)	110.4(12)
C(3)-C(2)-H(2)	124.9(10)	H(11A)-C(11)-H(11B)	112.6(19)
C(1)-C(2)-H(2)	123.5(10)	H(11C)-C(11)-H(11B)	104.5(17)
C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	125.86(16)	C(8)-C(12)-H(12C)	110.1(10)

 Table 3. Bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for RMM01 (CCDC 293604).

C(8)-C(12)-H(12B)	108.4(14)	C(13)-C(14)-H(14B)	112.2(10)
H(12C)-C(12)-H(12B)	106.1(17)	C(15)-C(14)-H(14A)	108.8(11)
C(8)-C(12)-H(12A)	108.9(11)	C(13)-C(14)-H(14A)	111.8(11)
H(12C)-C(12)-H(12A)	110.9(15)	H(14B)-C(14)-H(14A)	104.9(16)
H(12B)-C(12)-H(12A)	112.3(18)	O(2)-C(15)-C(14)	122.82(18)
C(9)-C(13)-C(14)	113.31(16)	O(2)-C(15)-C(16)	120.97(19)
C(9)-C(13)-H(13A)	108.5(10)	C(14)-C(15)-C(16)	116.17(19)
C(14)-C(13)-H(13A)	112.1(10)	C(15)-C(16)-H(16B)	107.5(13)
C(9)-C(13)-H(13B)	105.7(11)	C(15)-C(16)-H(16C)	109.2(12)
C(14)-C(13)-H(13B)	109.2(11)	H(16B)-C(16)-H(16C)	111(2)
H(13A)-C(13)-H(13B)	107.7(14)	C(15)-C(16)-H(16A)	111.7(14)
C(15)-C(14)-C(13)	114.19(17)	H(16B)-C(16)-H(16A)	107.1(19)
C(15)-C(14)-H(14B)	104.2(11)	H(16C)-C(16)-H(16A)	110.2(18)

Table 4.	Anisotropic displacement parameters (Å ² x 10 ⁴) for RMM01 (CCDC 293604).
The aniso	otropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2\pi^2$ [$h^2 a^{*2}U^{11} + + 2 h k$
a* b* U12]

	U^{11}	U ²²	U ³³	U ²³	U ¹³	U ¹²
O(1)	180(6)	311(7)	286(7)	-27(7)	-40(5)	-6(6)
O(2)	528(10)	395(9)	366(9)	77(7)	117(7)	126(8)
C(1)	197(9)	186(9)	286(10)	-22(10)	-10(7)	-15(9)
C(2)	158(9)	286(11)	280(10)	-46(9)	48(8)	-18(9)
C(3)	198(8)	187(10)	235(9)	-13(8)	25(7)	-10(8)
C(4)	194(9)	276(11)	245(11)	-31(8)	19(8)	-18(8)
C(5)	275(11)	285(12)	188(11)	-24(9)	-14(9)	-29(9)
C(6)	274(12)	334(13)	283(12)	-24(10)	12(9)	-113(10)
C(7)	229(11)	262(11)	253(11)	-14(9)	23(10)	-34(9)
C(8)	155(8)	232(9)	182(9)	-7(9)	19(6)	-2(9)
C(9)	165(9)	281(11)	216(10)	-13(9)	3(7)	10(9)
C(10)	356(14)	334(13)	304(13)	45(10)	-32(11)	-83(11)
C(11)	333(13)	531(16)	245(12)	-85(11)	59(10)	-24(12)
C(12)	284(13)	285(12)	257(12)	15(10)	6(11)	65(10)
C(13)	204(10)	305(12)	242(11)	9(9)	-16(8)	13(9)
C(14)	220(10)	350(13)	197(10)	-4(9)	-5(8)	19(9)
C(15)	263(11)	354(13)	181(10)	-50(9)	-51(8)	21(9)
C(16)	247(12)	456(14)	253(12)	20(12)	25(10)	-38(11)

	X	У	Z	U _{iso}
H(12C)	3900(30)	7703(19)	6684(19)	18(5)
H(14B)	4240(20)	9170(20)	3197(17)	24(5)
H(2)	-2360(20)	9190(20)	6393(15)	17(4)
H(16B)	5270(30)	9080(30)	420(20)	55(7)
H(5B)	1470(30)	11670(20)	7763(19)	31(6)
H(14A)	3110(20)	8356(19)	2145(16)	11(4)
H(5A)	2570(20)	10993(18)	8889(18)	17(5)
H(6B)	4700(30)	9760(20)	7443(19)	31(5)
H(9)	1030(20)	7790(20)	3938(18)	26(5)
H(13A)	1470(20)	10800(20)	3472(16)	20(5)
H(7A)	4290(30)	10165(18)	5186(17)	21(5)
H(16C)	6600(30)	9650(20)	1480(20)	35(6)
H(6A)	4550(30)	11460(20)	7172(18)	25(5)
H(7B)	2630(20)	11100(20)	5405(17)	20(5)
H(10A)	2990(30)	7960(20)	8490(20)	44(6)
H(11A)	-1810(30)	9180(30)	8690(20)	55(7)
H(12B)	2340(30)	6820(30)	5940(20)	45(7)
H(12A)	4000(30)	7490(20)	5104(19)	28(6)
H(11C)	-500(30)	10220(20)	9560(20)	33(5)
H(11B)	-1450(30)	10830(30)	8240(20)	44(6)
H(16A)	5970(30)	10580(20)	270(20)	42(6)
H(10B)	840(30)	7420(30)	8610(20)	46(7)
H(13B)	370(30)	9650(20)	2503(19)	26(5)
H(10C)	1770(30)	8500(20)	9690(20)	54(7)

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ($x 10^4$) and isotropic displacement parameters (Å²x 10³) for RMM01 (CCDC 293604).